arXiv:1401.0378v1 [math.RT] 2 Jan 2014

On the cohomology and extensions of n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras

Baoling Guan[1,2], Liangyun Chen[1], Yao Ma[1]

[1] School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northeast Normal University, Changchun 130024, China
[2] College of Sciences, Qiqihar University, Qiqihar 161006, China

Abstract

In this paper, we discuss the representations of n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras as a generalization of the notion of representations for n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras. We also give the cohomology of an n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra and obtain a relation between extensions of an n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{b}$ by an abelian one $\mathfrak{a}$ and $Z^1(\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{a})_{\bar{0}}$. We also introduce the notion of T^*-extensions of n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras and prove that every finite-dimensional nilpotent metric n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdots,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}},\alpha,\langle,\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}})$ over an algebraically closed field of characteristic not 2 in the case α is a surjection is isometric to a suitable T^*-extension.

Key words: n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra, representation, cohomology, extension
Mathematics Subject Classification(2010): 16S70, 17A42, 17B10, 17B56, 17B70

1 Introduction

In 1996, the concept of n-Lie superalgebras was firstly introduced by Y. Daletskii and V. Kushnirevich in [12]. Moreover, N. Cantarini and V. G. Kac gave a more general concept of

Corresponding author(L. Chen): chenly640@nenu.edu.cn.
Supported by NNSF of China (No.11171055), NSF of Jilin province (No.201115006), Scientific Research Foundation for Returned Scholars Ministry of Education of China and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No.12SSXT139).

n-Lie superalgebras again in 2010 in [10]. n-Lie superalgebras are more general structures including n-Lie algebras (n-ary Nambu-Lie algebras), n-ary Nambu-Lie superalgebras and Lie superalgebras.

The general Hom-algebra structures arose first in connection with quasi-deformation and discretizations of Lie algebras of vector fields. These quasi-deformations lead to quasi-Lie algebras, a generalized Lie algebra structure in which the skewsymmetry and Jacobi conditions are twisted. Hom-Lie algebras, Hom-associative algebras, Hom-Lie superalgebras, Hom-bialgebras, n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras and quasi-Hom-Lie algebras are discussed in [1–3, 8, 13, 14, 18, 19, 21–23]. Generalizations of n-ary algebras of Lie type and associative type by twisting the identities using linear maps have been introduced in [5].

Cohomologies are powerful tools in mathematics, which can be applied to algebras and topologies as well as the theory of smooth manifolds or of holomorphic functions. The cohomology of Lie algebras was defined by C. Chevalley and S. Eilenberg in order to give an algebraic construction of the cohomology of the underlying topological spaces of compact Lie groups [11]. The cohomology of Lie superalgebras was introduced by M. Scheunert and R. B. Zhang [20] and was used in mathematics and theoretical physics: the theory of cobordisms, invariant differential operators, central extensions and deformations, etc. The theory of cohomology for n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras and n-Lie superalgebras can be found in [6, 17]. This paper generalizes it to n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras.

The extension is an important way to find a larger algebra and there are many extensions such as double extensions and Kac-Moody extensions, etc. In 1997, Bordemann introduced the notion of T^*-extensions of Lie algebras [9] and proved that every nilpotent finite-dimensional algebra over an algebraically closed field carrying a nondegenerate invariant symmetric bilinear form is a suitable T^*-extension. The method of T^*-extension was used in [7] and was generalized to many other algebras recently [15–17]. This paper researches general extensions and T^*-extensions of n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras. In addition, the paper also discusses representations of n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras as a generalization of the notions of representations for n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give the representation and the cohomology for an n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra. In section 3, we give a one-to-one correspondence between extensions of an n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{b}$ by an abelian one $\mathfrak{a}$ and $Z^1(\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{a})_{\bar{0}}$. In section 4, we introduce the notion of T^*-extensions of n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras and prove that every finite-dimensional nilpotent metric n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdots,\cdot],\alpha,\langle,\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}})$ over an algebraically closed field of characteristic not 2 such that $\alpha(\mathfrak{g})=\mathfrak{g}$ is isometric to (a nondegenerate ideal of codimension 1 of) a T^*-extension of a nilpotent n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra whose nilpotent length is at most a half of the nilpotent length of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Definition 1.1. [4] *An n-ary Nambu-Lie superalgebra is a pair $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdots,\cdot])$ consisting of*

a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded vector space $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ and a multilinear mapping $[\cdot, \cdots, \cdot] : \underbrace{\mathfrak{g} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{g}}_{n} \to \mathfrak{g}$,

satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
|[x_1, \cdots, x_n]| =& |x_1| + \cdots + |x_n|, \\
[x_1, \cdots, x_i, x_{i+1}, \cdots, x_n] =& -(-1)^{|x_i||x_{i+1}|}[x_1, \cdots, x_{i+1}, x_i, \cdots, x_n], \\
[x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}, [y_1, \cdots, y_n]] =& \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{(|x_1|+\cdots+|x_{n-1}|)(|y_1|+\cdots+|y_{i-1}|)} \\
& \cdot [y_1, \cdots, y_{i-1}, [x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}, y_i], y_{i+1}, \cdots, y_n],
\end{aligned}$$

where $|x| \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ denotes the degree of a homogeneous element $x \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Definition 1.2. *An n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra is a triple $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot], \alpha)$ consisting of a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded vector space $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$, a multilinear mapping $[\cdot, \cdots, \cdot] : \underbrace{\mathfrak{g} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{g}}_{n} \to \mathfrak{g}$ and a family $\alpha = (\alpha_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n-1}$ of even linear maps $\alpha_i : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$, satisfying*

$$|[x_1, \cdots, x_n]| = |x_1| + \cdots + |x_n|, \tag{1.1}$$

$$[x_1, \cdots, x_i, x_{i+1}, \cdots, x_n] = -(-1)^{|x_i||x_{i+1}|}[x_1, \cdots, x_{i+1}, x_i, \cdots, x_n], \tag{1.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[\alpha_1(x_1), \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}(x_{n-1}), [y_1, \cdots, y_n]] =& \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{(|x_1|+\cdots+|x_{n-1}|)(|y_1|+\cdots+|y_{i-1}|)} \\
& \cdot [\alpha_1(y_1), \cdots, \alpha_{i-1}(y_{i-1}), [x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}, y_i], \alpha_i(y_{i+1}), \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}(y_n)],
\end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

where $|x| \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ denotes the degree of a homogeneous element $x \in \mathfrak{g}$.

An n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot], \alpha)$ is multiplicative, if $\alpha = (\alpha_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n-1}$ with $\alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_{n-1} = \alpha$ and satisfying

$$\alpha[x_1, \cdots, x_n] = [\alpha(x_1), \cdots, \alpha(x_n)], \forall x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

If the n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot], \alpha)$ is multiplicative, then the equation (1.3) can be read:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\alpha(x_1), \cdots, \alpha(x_{n-1}), [y_1, \cdots, y_n]] =& \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{(|x_1|+\cdots+|x_{n-1}|)(|y_1|+\cdots+|y_{i-1}|)} \\
& \cdot [\alpha(y_1), \cdots, \alpha(y_{i-1}), [x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}, y_i], \alpha(y_{i+1}), \cdots, \alpha(y_n)].
\end{aligned} \tag{1.3$'$}$$

It is clear that n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras and Hom-Lie superalgebras are particular cases of n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras. In the sequel, when the notation "$|x|$" appears, it means that x is a homogeneous element of degree $|x|$.

Definition 1.3. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot], \alpha)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}', [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot]', \alpha')$ be two n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras, where $\alpha = (\alpha_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n-1}$ and $\alpha' = (\alpha_i')_{1 \leq i \leq n-1}$. A linear map $f : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ is an n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra morphism if it satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}
f[x_1, \cdots, x_n] &= [f(x_1), \cdots, f(x_n)]', \\
f \circ \alpha_i &= \alpha_i' \circ f, \forall i = 1, \cdots, n-1.
\end{aligned}$$

Example 1.4. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot])$ be an n-ary Nambu-Lie superalgebra and let $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ be an n-ary Nambu-Lie superalgebra endomorphism. Then $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho \circ [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot], \rho)$ is an n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra.*

Proof. Put $[\cdot, \cdots, \cdot]_\rho := \rho \circ [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho[x_1, \cdots, x_n]_\rho = \rho(\rho[x_1, \cdots, x_n]) \\ =&\rho[\rho(x_1), \cdots, \rho(x_n)] \\ =&[\rho(x_1), \cdots, \rho(x_n)]_\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &[\rho(x_1), \cdots, \rho(x_{n-1}), [y_1, \cdots, y_n]_\rho]_\rho \\ =&\rho[\rho(x_1), \cdots, \rho(x_{n-1}), \rho[y_1, \cdots, y_n]] \\ =&\rho[\rho(x_1), \cdots, \rho(x_{n-1}), [\rho(y_1), \cdots, \rho(y_n)]] \\ =&\rho^2[x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}, [y_1, \cdots, y_n]] \\ =&\rho^2\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{(|x_1|+\cdots+|x_{n-1}|)(|y_1|+\cdots+|y_{i-1}|)}[y_1, \cdots, [x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}, y_i], \cdots, y_n]\Big) \\ =&\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{(|x_1|+\cdots+|x_{n-1}|)(|y_1|+\cdots+|y_{i-1}|)}\rho[\rho(y_1), \cdots, \rho[x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}, y_i], \cdots, \rho(y_n)] \\ =&\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{(|x_1|+\cdots+|x_{n-1}|)(|y_1|+\cdots+|y_{i-1}|)}[\rho(y_1), \cdots, [x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}, y_i]_\rho, \cdots, \rho(y_n)]_\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho\circ[\cdot, \cdots, \cdot], \rho)$ is an n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra. □

Definition 1.5. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot]_\mathfrak{g}, \alpha)$ be an n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra. A graded subspace $H \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ is a Hom-subalgebra of $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot]_\mathfrak{g}, \alpha)$ if $\alpha(H) \subseteq H$ and H is closed under the bracket operation $[\cdot, \cdots, \cdot]_\mathfrak{g}$, i.e., $[u_1, \cdots, u_n]_\mathfrak{g} \in H, \forall u_1, \cdots, u_n \in H$.*
A graded subspace $H \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ is a Hom-ideal of $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot]_\mathfrak{g}, \alpha)$ if $\alpha(H) \subseteq H$ and $[u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_n]_\mathfrak{g} \in H, \forall u_1 \in H, u_2, \cdots, u_n \in \mathfrak{g}$.

2 Cohomology for n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras

Definition 2.1. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot], \alpha)$ be an n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra. $\mathscr{X} = x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge^{n-1}}$ is called a fundamental object of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\forall z \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\mathscr{X} \cdot z := [x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}, z]$. It is clear that $|\mathscr{X}| = |x_1| + \cdots + |x_{n-1}|$.*

Let $\mathscr{X} = x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n-1}$ and $\mathscr{Y} = y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge y_{n-1}$ be two fundamental objects of $\mathfrak{g}$. A bilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot]_\alpha : \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge^{n-1}} \times \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge^{n-1}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge^{n-1}}$ defined by

$$[\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{Y}]_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}|(|y_1|+\cdots+|y_{i-1}|)}\alpha(y_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha(y_{i-1}) \wedge \mathscr{X} \cdot y_i \wedge \alpha(y_{i+1}) \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha(y_{n-1}).$$

A linear map $\alpha : \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge^{n-1}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge^{n-1}}$ defined by $\alpha(\mathscr{X}) = \alpha(x_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha(x_{n-1})$. Then $\alpha[\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{Y}]_\alpha = [\alpha(\mathscr{X}), \alpha(\mathscr{Y})]_\alpha$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot], \alpha)$ be an n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra. Suppose that $\mathscr{X} = x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n-1}$, $\mathscr{Y} = y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge y_{n-1}$ and $\mathscr{Z} = z_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge z_{n-1}$ are fundamental objects of $\mathfrak{g}$ and z is an arbitrary element in $\mathfrak{g}$. Then*

$$\alpha(\mathscr{X}) \cdot (\mathscr{Y} \cdot z) = (-1)^{|\mathscr{X}||\mathscr{Y}|}\alpha(\mathscr{Y}) \cdot (\mathscr{X} \cdot z) + [\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{Y}]_\alpha \cdot \alpha(z), \tag{2.1}$$

$$[\alpha(\mathscr{X}), [\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{Z}]_\alpha]_\alpha = (-1)^{|\mathscr{X}||\mathscr{Y}|}[\alpha(\mathscr{Y}), [\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{Z}]_\alpha]_\alpha + [[\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{Y}]_\alpha, \alpha(\mathscr{Z})]_\alpha, \tag{2.2}$$

$$[\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{Y}]_\alpha \cdot \alpha(z) = -(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}||\mathscr{Y}|}[\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{X}]_\alpha \cdot \alpha(z). \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. It's easy to see that (2.1) is equivalent to (1.3$'$). Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\alpha(\mathscr{X}), [\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{Z}]_\alpha]_\alpha = [\alpha(\mathscr{X}), \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{|\mathscr{Y}|(|z_1|+\cdots+|z_{i-1}|)}\alpha(z_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathscr{Y} \cdot z_i \wedge \\
&\quad \cdots \wedge \alpha(z_{n-1})]_\alpha \\
&= \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i<j}}^{n-1}(-1)^{|\mathscr{Y}|(|z_1|+\cdots+|z_{i-1}|)}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}|(|z_1|+\cdots+|z_{j-1}|+|\mathscr{Y}|)} \qquad (2.4) \\
&\quad \cdot \alpha^2(z_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha(\mathscr{Y} \cdot z_i) \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha(\mathscr{X}) \cdot \alpha(z_j) \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha^2(z_{n-1}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ j<i}}^{n-1}(-1)^{|\mathscr{Y}|(|z_1|+\cdots+|z_{i-1}|)}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}|(|z_1|+\cdots+|z_{j-1}|)} \qquad (2.5) \\
&\quad \cdot \alpha^2(z_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha(\mathscr{X}) \cdot \alpha(z_j) \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha(\mathscr{Y} \cdot z_i) \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha^2(z_{n-1}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{(|\mathscr{X}|+|\mathscr{Y}|)(|z_1|+\cdots+|z_{i-1}|)}\alpha^2(z_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha(\mathscr{X}) \cdot (\mathscr{Y} \cdot z_i) \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha^2(z_{n-1}). \qquad (2.6)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\alpha(\mathscr{Y}), [\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{Z}]_\alpha]_\alpha \\
&= \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i<j}}^{n-1}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}|(|z_1|+\cdots+|z_{i-1}|)}(-1)^{|\mathscr{Y}|(|z_1|+\cdots+|z_{j-1}|+|\mathscr{X}|)} \qquad (2.7) \\
&\quad \cdot \alpha^2(z_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha(\mathscr{X} \cdot z_i) \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha(\mathscr{Y}) \cdot \alpha(z_j) \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha^2(z_{n-1}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ j<i}}^{n-1}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}|(|z_1|+\cdots+|z_{i-1}|)}(-1)^{|\mathscr{Y}|(|z_1|+\cdots+|z_{j-1}|)} \qquad (2.8) \\
&\quad \cdot \alpha^2(z_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha(\mathscr{Y}) \cdot \alpha(z_j) \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha(\mathscr{X} \cdot z_i) \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha^2(z_{n-1}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{(|\mathscr{X}|+|\mathscr{Y}|)(|z_1|+\cdots+|z_{i-1}|)}\alpha^2(z_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha(\mathscr{Y}) \cdot (\mathscr{X} \cdot z_i) \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha^2(z_{n-1}) \qquad (2.9)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$[[\mathscr{X},\mathscr{Y}]_\alpha,\alpha(\mathscr{Z})]_\alpha=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{(|\mathscr{X}|+|\mathscr{Y}|)(|z_1|+\cdots+|z_{i-1}|)}\alpha^2(z_1)\wedge\cdots\wedge[\mathscr{X},\mathscr{Y}]_\alpha\cdot\alpha(z_i)\wedge\cdots\wedge\alpha^2(z_{n-1}). \tag{2.10}$$

It can be checked that (2.4)+(2.5)=$(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}||\mathscr{Y}|}$(2.7)+$(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}||\mathscr{Y}|}$(2.8). By (2.1), we conclude (2.6)=(2.10)+$(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}||\mathscr{Y}|}$(2.9). Thus (2.2) holds.

Using (2.1), by exchanging $\mathscr{X}$ and $\mathscr{Y}$, we have

$$\alpha(\mathscr{Y})\cdot(\mathscr{X}\cdot z)=[\mathscr{Y},\mathscr{X}]_\alpha\cdot\alpha(z)+(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}||\mathscr{Y}|}\alpha(\mathscr{X})\cdot(\mathscr{Y}\cdot z). \tag{2.11}$$

Comparing (2.1) with (2.11), we obtain (2.3). □

Definition 2.3. *Let $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdots,\cdot],\alpha)$ be an n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra and $V=V_{\bar{0}}\oplus V_{\bar{1}}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded vector space over a field $\mathbb{K}$. A graded representation ρ of $\mathfrak{g}$ on V is a linear map $\rho:\mathfrak{g}^{\wedge^{n-1}}\to\mathrm{End}(V),\mathscr{X}\mapsto\rho(\mathscr{X})=\rho(x_1,\cdots,x_{n-1})$ such that*

$$\rho(\mathscr{X})\cdot V_\beta\subseteq V_{\beta+|\mathscr{X}|},\forall\beta\in\mathbb{Z}_2, \tag{2.12}$$

$$\rho(\alpha(\mathscr{X}))\rho(\mathscr{Y})=(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}||\mathscr{Y}|}\rho(\alpha(\mathscr{Y}))\rho(\mathscr{X})+\rho[\mathscr{X},\mathscr{Y}]_\alpha\circ\nu, \tag{2.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(\alpha(x_1),\cdots,\alpha(x_{n-2}),[y_1,\cdots,y_n])\circ\nu=&\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{n-i}(-1)^{(|x_1|+\cdots+|x_{n-2}|)(|y_1|+\cdots+\widehat{|y_i|}+\cdots+|y_n|)}\\&\cdot(-1)^{|y_i|(|y_{i+1}|+\cdots+|y_n|)}\rho(\alpha(y_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(y_i)},\cdots,\alpha(y_n))\rho(x_1,\cdots,x_{n-2},y_i),\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

for $\mathscr{X},\mathscr{Y}\in\mathfrak{g}^{\wedge^{n-1}}$ and $x_1,\cdots,x_{n-2},y_1,\cdots,y_n\in\mathfrak{g}$, $\nu\in\mathrm{End}V$, where the sign $\widehat{\ }$ indicates that the element below must be omitted. The $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded representation space (V,ν) is said to be a graded $\mathfrak{g}$-module.

We use a supersymmetric notation $[x_1,\cdots,x_{n-1},v]$(like (1.2)) to denote $\rho(\mathscr{X})\cdot v$ and set $[x_1,\cdots,x_{n-2},v_1,v_2]=0$ and $(\alpha+\nu)(x+v)=\alpha(x)+\nu(v)$ for all $x\in\mathfrak{g}$ and $v\in V$, then $(\mathfrak{g}\oplus V,[\cdot,\cdots,\cdot],\alpha+\nu)$ becomes an n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra such that V is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded abelian ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$, that is,

$$[V,\underbrace{\mathfrak{g},\cdots,\mathfrak{g}}_{n-1}]\subseteq V\quad\text{and}\quad[V,V,\underbrace{\mathfrak{g},\cdots,\mathfrak{g}}_{n-2}]=0.$$

In the sequel, we will usually abbreviate $\rho(\mathscr{X})\cdot v$ with $\mathscr{X}\cdot v$.

Example 2.4. *Let $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdots,\cdot],\alpha)$ be an n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra. Then $\mathrm{ad}:\mathfrak{g}^{\wedge^{n-1}}\to\mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{g}),\mathscr{X}\mapsto\mathrm{ad}\mathscr{X}$ defined by*

$$\mathrm{ad}\mathscr{X}(z)=\mathscr{X}\cdot z$$

is a graded representation of $\mathfrak{g}$, it is also called the adjoint graded representation of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Definition 2.5. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot], \alpha)$ be an n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra and (V, ν) be a graded $\mathfrak{g}$-module. An m-cochain is an $(m+1)$-linear map*

$$f : \underbrace{\mathfrak{g}^{\wedge^{n-1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge^{n-1}}}_{m} \wedge \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow V$$

such that

$$\nu \circ f(\mathscr{X}_1, \mathscr{X}_2, \cdots, \mathscr{X}_m, z) = f(\alpha(\mathscr{X}_1), \alpha(\mathscr{X}_2), \cdots, \alpha(\mathscr{X}_m), \alpha(z))$$

for all $\mathscr{X}_1, \mathscr{X}_2, \cdots, \mathscr{X}_m \in \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge^{n-1}}$ and $z \in \mathfrak{g}$. We denote the set of m-cochain by $C^m(\mathfrak{g}, V)$.

Definition 2.6. *For $m \geq 1$, we call m-coboundary operator of the n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot], \alpha)$ the even linear map $\delta^m : C^m(\mathfrak{g}, V) \to C^{m+1}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ by*

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\delta^m f)(\mathscr{X}_1, \cdots, \mathscr{X}_m, \mathscr{X}_{m+1}, z) \\
=&\sum_{i<j} (-1)^i (-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_i|(|\mathscr{X}_{i+1}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{j-1}|)} f(\alpha(\mathscr{X}_1), \cdots, \widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_i)}, \cdots, [\mathscr{X}_i, \mathscr{X}_j]_\alpha, \cdots, \alpha(\mathscr{X}_{m+1}), \alpha(z)) \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} (-1)^i (-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_i|(|\mathscr{X}_{i+1}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{m+1}|)} f(\alpha(\mathscr{X}_1), \cdots, \widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_i)}, \cdots, \alpha(\mathscr{X}_{m+1}), \mathscr{X}_i \cdot z) \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} (-1)^{i+1} (-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_i|(|f|+|\mathscr{X}_1|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{i-1}|)} \alpha^m(\mathscr{X}_i) \cdot f(\mathscr{X}_1, \cdots, \widehat{\mathscr{X}_i}, \cdots, \mathscr{X}_{m+1}, z) \\
&+ (-1)^m (f(\mathscr{X}_1, \cdots, \mathscr{X}_m, \quad) \cdot \mathscr{X}_{m+1}) \bullet_\alpha \alpha^m(z),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathscr{X}_i = \mathscr{X}_i^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathscr{X}_i^{n-1} \in \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge^{n-1}}, i = 1, \cdots, m+1, z \in \mathfrak{g}$ and the last term is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
(f(\mathscr{X}_1, \cdots, \mathscr{X}_m, \quad) \cdot \mathscr{X}_{m+1}) \bullet_\alpha \alpha^m(z) =& \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{(|f|+|\mathscr{X}_1|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_m|)(|\mathscr{X}_{m+1}^1|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{m+1}^{i-1}|)} \\
&\cdot [\alpha^m(\mathscr{X}_{m+1}^1), \cdots, f(\mathscr{X}_1, \cdots, \mathscr{X}_m, \mathscr{X}_{m+1}^i), \cdots, \alpha^m(\mathscr{X}_{m+1}^{n-1}), \alpha^m(z)].
\end{aligned}$$

We now check that $\delta^{m+1} \circ \delta^m = 0$. In fact, for $f \in C^m(\mathfrak{g}, V)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\delta^{m+1} \circ \delta^m(f))(\mathscr{X}_1, \cdots, \mathscr{X}_{m+2}, z) \\
=&\sum_{i<j} (-1)^i (-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_i|(|\mathscr{X}_{i+1}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{j-1}|)} \delta^m f(\alpha(\mathscr{X}_1), \cdots, \widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_i)}, \cdots, [\mathscr{X}_i, \mathscr{X}_j]_\alpha, \cdots, \\
&\qquad \alpha(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}), \alpha(z)) \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{m+2} (-1)^i (-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_i|(|\mathscr{X}_{i+1}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{m+2}|)} \delta^m f(\alpha(\mathscr{X}_1), \cdots, \widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_i)}, \cdots, \alpha(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}), \mathscr{X}_i \cdot z) \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{m+2} (-1)^{i+1} (-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_i|(|f|+|\mathscr{X}_1|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{i-1}|)} \alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}_i) \cdot \delta^m f(\mathscr{X}_1, \cdots, \widehat{\mathscr{X}_i}, \cdots, \mathscr{X}_{m+2}, z)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+(-1)^{m+1}(\delta^m f(\mathscr{X}_1,\cdots,\mathscr{X}_{m+1},\ \ )\cdot\mathscr{X}_{m+2})\bullet_\alpha \alpha^{m+1}(z),\\
&=\sum_{s<t<i<j} a_{ijst} f(\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_s)},\cdots,[\alpha(\mathscr{X}_s),\alpha(\mathscr{X}_t)]_\alpha,\cdots,\widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_i)},\cdots, \qquad \text{(a1)}\\
&\qquad \alpha[\mathscr{X}_i,\mathscr{X}_j]_\alpha,\cdots,\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}),\alpha^2(z))\\
&+\sum_{s<i<t<j} \widetilde{a_{ijst}} f(\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_s)},\cdots,\widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_i)},\cdots,[\alpha(\mathscr{X}_s),\alpha(\mathscr{X}_t)]_\alpha,\cdots, \qquad \text{(a2)}\\
&\qquad \alpha[\mathscr{X}_i,\mathscr{X}_j]_\alpha,\cdots,\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}),\alpha^2(z))\\
&+\sum_{s<i<j<t} a_{ijst} f(\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_s)},\cdots,\widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_i)},\cdots,\alpha[\mathscr{X}_i,\mathscr{X}_j]_\alpha,\cdots, \qquad \text{(a3)}\\
&\qquad [\alpha(\mathscr{X}_s),\alpha(\mathscr{X}_t)]_\alpha,\cdots,\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}),\alpha^2(z))\\
&-\sum_{i<s<t<j} a_{ijst} f(\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_i)},\cdots,\widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_s)},\cdots,[\alpha(\mathscr{X}_s),\alpha(\mathscr{X}_t)]_\alpha,\cdots, \qquad \text{(a4)}\\
&\qquad \alpha[\mathscr{X}_i,\mathscr{X}_j]_\alpha,\cdots,\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}),\alpha^2(z))\\
&-\sum_{i<s<j<t} \widetilde{a_{ijst}} f(\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_i)},\cdots,\widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_s)},\cdots,\alpha[\mathscr{X}_i,\mathscr{X}_j]_\alpha,\cdots, \qquad \text{(a5)}\\
&\qquad [\alpha(\mathscr{X}_s),\alpha(\mathscr{X}_t)]_\alpha,\cdots,\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}),\alpha^2(z))\\
&-\sum_{i<j<s<t} a_{ijst} f(\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_i)},\cdots,\alpha[\mathscr{X}_i,\mathscr{X}_j]_\alpha,\cdots,\widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_s)}, \qquad \text{(a6)}\\
&\qquad \cdots,[\alpha(\mathscr{X}_s),\alpha(\mathscr{X}_t)]_\alpha,\cdots,\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}),\alpha^2(z))\\
&+\sum_{k<i<j} \widetilde{b_{ijk}} f(\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_k)},\cdots,\widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_i)},\cdots,[\alpha(\mathscr{X}_k),[\mathscr{X}_i,\mathscr{X}_j]_\alpha]_\alpha, \qquad \text{(b1)}\\
&\qquad \cdots,\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}),\alpha^2(z))\\
&-\sum_{i<k<j} b_{ijk} f(\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_i)},\cdots,\widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_k)},\cdots,[\alpha(\mathscr{X}_k),[\mathscr{X}_i,\mathscr{X}_j]_\alpha]_\alpha, \qquad \text{(b2)}\\
&\qquad \cdots,\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}),\alpha^2(z))\\
&-\sum_{i<j<k} \widetilde{b_{ikj}} f(\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_i)},\cdots,\widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_j)},\cdots,[\mathscr{X}_i,\mathscr{X}_j]_\alpha\cdot\alpha(\mathscr{X}_k), \qquad \text{(b3)}\\
&\qquad \cdots,\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}),\alpha^2(z))\\
&+\sum_{k<i<j} c_{ijk} f(\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_k)},\cdots,\widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_i)},\cdots,\alpha[\mathscr{X}_i,\mathscr{X}_j]_\alpha, \qquad \text{(c1)}\\
&\qquad \cdots,\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}),\alpha(\mathscr{X}_k)\cdot\alpha(z))\\
&-\sum_{i<k<j} \widetilde{c_{ijk}} f(\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_i)},\cdots,\widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_k)},\cdots,\alpha[\mathscr{X}_i,\mathscr{X}_j]_\alpha, \qquad \text{(c2)}\\
&\qquad \cdots,\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}),\alpha(\mathscr{X}_k)\cdot\alpha(z))
\end{aligned}
$$

$$- \sum_{i<j<k} c_{ijk} f(\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_1), \cdots, \widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_i)}, \cdots, \alpha[\mathscr{X}_i, \mathscr{X}_j]_\alpha, \cdots, \widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_k)}, \cdots, \alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}), \alpha(\mathscr{X}_k) \cdot \alpha(z)) \tag{c3}$$

$$- \sum_{i<j} \widetilde{d_{ij}} f(\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_1), \cdots, \widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_i)}, \cdots, \widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_j)}, \cdots, \alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}), [\mathscr{X}_i, \mathscr{X}_j]_\alpha \cdot \alpha(z)) \tag{d1}$$

$$+ \sum_{k<i<j} e_{ijk} \alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}_k) \cdot f(\alpha(\mathscr{X}_1), \cdots, \widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_k)}, \cdots, \widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_i)}, \cdots, [\mathscr{X}_i, \mathscr{X}_j]_\alpha, \cdots, \alpha(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}), \alpha(z)) \tag{e1}$$

$$- \sum_{i<k<j} \widetilde{e_{ijk}} \alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}_k) \cdot f(\alpha(\mathscr{X}_1), \cdots, \widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_i)}, \cdots, \widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_k)}, \cdots, [\mathscr{X}_i, \mathscr{X}_j]_\alpha, \cdots, \alpha(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}), \alpha(z)) \tag{e2}$$

$$- \sum_{i<j<k} e_{ijk} \alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}_k) \cdot f(\alpha(\mathscr{X}_1), \cdots, \widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_i)}, \cdots, [\mathscr{X}_i, \mathscr{X}_j]_\alpha, \cdots, \widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_k)}, \cdots, \alpha(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}), \alpha(z)) \tag{e3}$$

$$- \sum_{i<j} \widetilde{g_{ij}} \alpha^m([\mathscr{X}_i, \mathscr{X}_j]_\alpha) \cdot f(\alpha(\mathscr{X}_1), \cdots, \widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_i)}, \cdots, \widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_j)}, \cdots, \alpha(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}), \alpha(z)) \tag{g1}$$

$$+ \sum_{i<j\leq m+1} h_{ij}(f(\alpha(\mathscr{X}_1), \cdots, \widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_i)}, \cdots, [\mathscr{X}_i, \mathscr{X}_j]_\alpha, \cdots, \alpha(\mathscr{X}_{m+1}), \quad) \cdot \alpha(\mathscr{X}_{m+2})) \bullet_\alpha \alpha^{m+1}(z) \tag{h1}$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} (-1)^{k+m} (-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_k|(|\mathscr{X}_{k+1}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{m+1}|)} \cdot (f(\alpha(\mathscr{X}_1), \cdots, \widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_k)}, \cdots, \alpha(\mathscr{X}_{m+1}), \quad) \cdot [\mathscr{X}_k, \mathscr{X}_{m+2}]_\alpha) \bullet_\alpha \alpha^{m+1}(z) \tag{l1}$$

$$+ \sum_{s<t<i} c_{sti} f(\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_1), \cdots, \widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_s)}, \cdots, [\alpha(\mathscr{X}_s), \alpha(\mathscr{X}_t)]_\alpha, \cdots, \widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_i)}, \cdots, \alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}), \alpha(\mathscr{X}_i \cdot z)) \tag{c4}$$

$$+ \sum_{s<i<t} \widetilde{c_{sti}} f(\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_1), \cdots, \widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_s)}, \cdots, \widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_i)}, \cdots, [\alpha(\mathscr{X}_s), \alpha(\mathscr{X}_t)]_\alpha, \cdots, \alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}), \alpha(\mathscr{X}_i \cdot z)) \tag{c5}$$

$$- \sum_{i<s<t} c_{sti} f(\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_1), \cdots, \widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_i)}, \cdots, \widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_s)}, \cdots, [\alpha(\mathscr{X}_s), \alpha(\mathscr{X}_t)]_\alpha, \cdots, \alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}), \alpha(\mathscr{X}_i \cdot z)) \tag{c6}$$

$$+ \sum_{k<i} \widetilde{d_{ik}} f(\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_1), \cdots, \widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_k)}, \cdots, \widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_i)}, \cdots, \alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}), \alpha(\mathscr{X}_k) \cdot (\mathscr{X}_i \cdot z)) \tag{d2}$$

$$- \sum_{i<k} d_{ik} f(\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_1), \cdots, \widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_i)}, \cdots, \widehat{\alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_k)}, \cdots, \alpha^2(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}), \alpha(\mathscr{X}_k) \cdot (\mathscr{X}_i \cdot z)) \tag{d3}$$

$$+\sum_{k<i} p_{ki}\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}_k)\cdot f(\alpha(\mathscr{X}_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_k)},\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_i)},\cdots,\alpha(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}),\mathscr{X}_i\cdot z) \tag{p1}$$

$$-\sum_{i<k} \widetilde{p_{ki}}\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}_k)\cdot f(\alpha(\mathscr{X}_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_i)},\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_k)},\cdots,\alpha(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}),\mathscr{X}_i\cdot z) \tag{p2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}+\sum_{i=1}^{m+1}(-1)^{i+m}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_i|(|\mathscr{X}_{i+1}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{m+2}|)}\\ \cdot(f(\alpha(\mathscr{X}_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_i)},\cdots,\alpha(\mathscr{X}_{m+1}),\quad)\cdot\alpha(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}))\bullet_\alpha\alpha^m(\mathscr{X}_i\cdot z)\end{aligned} \tag{l2}$$

$$+(f(\alpha(\mathscr{X}_1),\cdots,\alpha(\mathscr{X}_m),\quad)\cdot\alpha(\mathscr{X}_{m+1}))\bullet_\alpha\alpha^m(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}\cdot z) \tag{q1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}+\sum_{s<t<i} e_{sti}\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}_i)\cdot f(\alpha(\mathscr{X}_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_s)},\cdots,[\mathscr{X}_s,\mathscr{X}_t]_\alpha,\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_i)},\\ \cdots,\alpha(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}),\alpha(z))\end{aligned} \tag{e4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}+\sum_{s<i<t} \widetilde{e_{sti}}\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}_i)\cdot f(\alpha(\mathscr{X}_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_s)},\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_i)},\cdots,[\mathscr{X}_s,\mathscr{X}_t]_\alpha\\ \cdots,\alpha(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}),\alpha(z))\end{aligned} \tag{e5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}-\sum_{i<s<t} e_{sti}\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}_i)\cdot f(\alpha(\mathscr{X}_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_i)},\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_s)},\cdots,[\mathscr{X}_s,\mathscr{X}_t]_\alpha\\ \cdots,\alpha(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}),\alpha(z))\end{aligned} \tag{e6}$$

$$+\sum_{k<i} \widetilde{p_{ik}}\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}_i)\cdot f(\alpha(\mathscr{X}_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_k)},\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_i)},\cdots,\alpha(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}),\mathscr{X}_k\cdot z) \tag{p3}$$

$$-\sum_{i<k} p_{ik}\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}_i)\cdot f(\alpha(\mathscr{X}_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_i)},\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_k)},\cdots,\alpha(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}),\mathscr{X}_k\cdot z) \tag{p4}$$

$$+\sum_{k<i} \widetilde{g_{ki}}\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}_i)\cdot(\alpha^m(\mathscr{X}_k)\cdot f(\mathscr{X}_1,\cdots,\widehat{\mathscr{X}_k},\cdots,\widehat{\mathscr{X}_i},\cdots,\mathscr{X}_{m+2},z)) \tag{g2}$$

$$-\sum_{i<k} g_{ki}\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}_i)\cdot(\alpha^m(\mathscr{X}_k)\cdot f(\mathscr{X}_1,\cdots,\widehat{\mathscr{X}_i},\cdots,\widehat{\mathscr{X}_k},\cdots,\mathscr{X}_{m+2},z)) \tag{g3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}-\sum_{i=1}^{m+1}(-1)^{i+m}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_i|(|f|+|\mathscr{X}_1|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{i-1}|)}\\ \alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}_i)\cdot((f(\mathscr{X}_1,\cdots,\widehat{\mathscr{X}_i},\cdots,\mathscr{X}_{m+1},\quad)\cdot\mathscr{X}_{m+2})\bullet_\alpha\alpha^m(z))\end{aligned} \tag{l3}$$

$$-(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_{m+2}|(|f|+|\mathscr{X}_1|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{m+1}|)}\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}_{m+2})\cdot((f(\mathscr{X}_1,\cdots,\mathscr{X}_m,\quad)\cdot\mathscr{X}_{m+1})\bullet_\alpha\alpha^m(z)) \tag{q2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}-\sum_{s<t\leq m+1} h_{st}(f(\alpha(\mathscr{X}_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_s)},\cdots,[\mathscr{X}_s,\mathscr{X}_t]_\alpha,\cdots,\alpha(\mathscr{X}_{m+1}),\quad)\cdot\alpha(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}))\\ \bullet_\alpha\alpha^{m+1}(z)\end{aligned} \tag{h2}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\sum_{k=1}^{m+1}(-1)^{m+k}(-1)^{(|f|+|\mathscr{X}_1|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{m+1}|)(|\mathscr{X}^1_{m+2}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}^{i-1}_{m+2}|)}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_k|(|\mathscr{X}_{k+1}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{m+1}|)}\\
&\cdot[\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}^1_{m+2}),\cdots,f(\alpha(\mathscr{X}_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_k)},\cdots,\alpha(\mathscr{X}_{m+1}),\mathscr{X}_k\cdot\mathscr{X}^i_{m+2}),\cdots,\\
&\qquad\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}^{n-1}_{m+2}),\alpha^{m+1}(z)]
\end{aligned}
\tag{l4}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\sum_{k=1}^{m+1}(-1)^{m+k}(-1)^{(|f|+|\mathscr{X}_1|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{m+1}|)(|\mathscr{X}^1_{m+2}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}^{i-1}_{m+2}|)}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_k|(|f|+|\mathscr{X}_1|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{k-1}|)}\\
&\cdot[\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}^1_{m+2}),\cdots,\alpha^m(\mathscr{X}_k)\cdot f(\mathscr{X}_1,\cdots,\widehat{\mathscr{X}_k},\cdots,\mathscr{X}_{m+1},\mathscr{X}^i_{m+2}),\cdots,\\
&\qquad\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}^{n-1}_{m+2}),\alpha^{m+1}(z)]
\end{aligned}
\tag{l5}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{(|f|+|\mathscr{X}_1|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{m+1}|)(|\mathscr{X}^1_{m+2}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}^{i-1}_{m+2}|)}\\
&\cdot[\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}^1_{m+2}),\cdots,(f(\mathscr{X}_1,\cdots,\mathscr{X}_m,\quad)\cdot\mathscr{X}_{m+1})\bullet_\alpha\alpha^m(\mathscr{X}^i_{m+2}),\cdots,\\
&\qquad\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}^{n-1}_{m+2}),\alpha^{m+1}(z)],
\end{aligned}
\tag{q3}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{ijst}&=(-1)^{s+i}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_i|(|\mathscr{X}_{i+1}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{j-1}|)}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_s|(|\mathscr{X}_{s+1}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{t-1}|)}, &\widetilde{a_{ijst}}&=(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_i||\mathscr{X}_s|}a_{ijst};\\
b_{ijk}&=(-1)^{i+k}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_i|(|\mathscr{X}_{i+1}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{j-1}|)}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_k|(|\mathscr{X}_{k+1}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{j-1}|)}, &\widetilde{b_{ijk}}&=(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_i||\mathscr{X}_k|}b_{ijk};\\
c_{ijk}&=(-1)^{i+k}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_i|(|\mathscr{X}_{i+1}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{j-1}|)}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_k|(|\mathscr{X}_{k+1}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{m+2}|)}, &\widetilde{c_{ijk}}&=(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_i||\mathscr{X}_k|}c_{ijk};\\
d_{ij}&=(-1)^{i+j}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_i|(|\mathscr{X}_{i+1}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{m+2}|)}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_j|(|\mathscr{X}_{j+1}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{m+2}|)}, &\widetilde{d_{ij}}&=(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_i||\mathscr{X}_j|}d_{ij};\\
e_{ijk}&=(-1)^{i+k+1}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_i|(|\mathscr{X}_{i+1}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{j-1}|)}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_k|(|f|+|\mathscr{X}_1|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{k-1}|)}, &\widetilde{e_{ijk}}&=(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_i||\mathscr{X}_k|}e_{ijk};\\
g_{ij}&=(-1)^{i+j+1}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_i|(|f|+|\mathscr{X}_1|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{i-1}|)}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_j|(|f|+|\mathscr{X}_1|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{j-1}|)}, &\widetilde{g_{ij}}&=(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_i||\mathscr{X}_j|}g_{ij};\\
h_{ij}&=(-1)^{i+m}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_i|(|\mathscr{X}_{i+1}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{j-1}|)}, &\widetilde{h_{ij}}&=(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_i||\mathscr{X}_j|}h_{ij};\\
p_{ki}&=(-1)^{i+k+1}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_i|(|\mathscr{X}_{i+1}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{m+2}|)}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_k|(|f|+|\mathscr{X}_1|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{k-1}|)}, &\widetilde{p_{ki}}&=(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_i||\mathscr{X}_k|}p_{ki}.
\end{aligned}
$$

It can be verified that the sum of terms labeled with the same letter vanishes. For example, (l1)+(l2)+(l3)+(l4)+(l5)=0, in fact,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(l1)+(l2)+(l3)+(l4)+(l5)\\
&=\sum_{k=1}^{m+1}(-1)^{k+m}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_k|(|\mathscr{X}_{k+1}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{m+1}|)}\\
&\qquad\cdot(f(\alpha(\mathscr{X}_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_k)},\cdots,\alpha(\mathscr{X}_{m+1}),\quad)\cdot[\mathscr{X}_k,\mathscr{X}_{m+2}]_\alpha)\bullet_\alpha\alpha^{m+1}(z)
\end{aligned}
\tag{l1}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\sum_{i=1}^{m+1}(-1)^{i+m}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_i|(|\mathscr{X}_{i+1}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{m+2}|)}\\
&\qquad\cdot(f(\alpha(\mathscr{X}_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_i)},\cdots,\alpha(\mathscr{X}_{m+1}),\quad)\cdot\alpha(\mathscr{X}_{m+2}))\bullet_\alpha\alpha^m(\mathscr{X}_i\cdot z)
\end{aligned}
\tag{l2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\sum_{i=1}^{m+1}(-1)^{i+m}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_i|(|f|+|\mathscr{X}_1|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{i-1}|)} \\
&\qquad\cdot\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}_i)\cdot((f(\mathscr{X}_1,\cdots,\widehat{\mathscr{X}_i},\cdots,\mathscr{X}_{m+1},\quad)\cdot\mathscr{X}_{m+2})\bullet_\alpha\alpha^m(z))
\end{aligned}
\tag{l3}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\sum_{k=1}^{m+1}(-1)^{m+k}(-1)^{(|f|+|\mathscr{X}_1|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{m+1}|)(|\mathscr{X}^1_{m+2}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}^{i-1}_{m+2}|)}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_k|(|\mathscr{X}_{k+1}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{m+1}|)} \\
&\cdot[\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}^1_{m+2}),\cdots,f(\alpha(\mathscr{X}_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_k)},\cdots,\alpha(\mathscr{X}_{m+1}),\mathscr{X}_k\cdot\mathscr{X}^i_{m+2}),\cdots, \\
&\qquad\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}^{n-1}_{m+2}),\alpha^{m+1}(z)]
\end{aligned}
\tag{l4}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\sum_{k=1}^{m+1}(-1)^{m+k}(-1)^{(|f|+|\mathscr{X}_1|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{m+1}|)(|\mathscr{X}^1_{m+2}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}^{i-1}_{m+2}|)}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_k|(|f|+|\mathscr{X}_1|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{k-1}|)} \\
&\cdot[\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}^1_{m+2}),\cdots,\alpha^m(\mathscr{X}_k)\cdot f(\mathscr{X}_1,\cdots,\widehat{\mathscr{X}_k},\cdots,\mathscr{X}_{m+1},\mathscr{X}^i_{m+2}),\cdots, \\
&\qquad\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}^{n-1}_{m+2}),\alpha^{m+1}(z)]
\end{aligned}
\tag{l5}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{l1}) &= \sum_{k=1}^{m+1}(-1)^{k+m}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_k|(|\mathscr{X}_{k+1}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{m+1}|)}\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_k|(|\mathscr{X}^1_{m+2}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}^{i-1}_{m+2}|)} \\
&\quad\cdot(f(\alpha(\mathscr{X}_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_k)},\cdots,\alpha(\mathscr{X}_{m+1}),\quad)\cdot(\alpha(\mathscr{X}^1_{m+2})\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathscr{X}_k\cdot\mathscr{X}^i_{m+2}\wedge\cdots\wedge \\
&\qquad\alpha(\mathscr{X}^{n-1}_{m+2}))\bullet_\alpha\alpha^{m+1}(z) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{m+1}(-1)^{k+m}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_k|(|\mathscr{X}_{k+1}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{m+1}|)}\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_k|(|\mathscr{X}^1_{m+2}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}^{i-1}_{m+2}|)} \\
&\Big\{\sum_{j<i}(-1)^{(|f|+|\mathscr{X}_1|+\cdots+|\widehat{\mathscr{X}_k}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{m+1}|)(|\mathscr{X}^1_{m+2}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}^{j-1}_{m+2}|)} \\
&\quad\cdot[\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}^1_{m+2}),\cdots,f(\alpha(\mathscr{X}_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_k)},\cdots,\alpha(\mathscr{X}_{m+1}),\alpha(\mathscr{X}^j_{m+2})),\cdots, \\
&\qquad\alpha^m(\mathscr{X}_k\cdot\mathscr{X}^i_{m+2}),\cdots,\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}^{n-1}_{m+2}),\alpha^{m+1}(z)] \qquad (\mathrm{l1a}) \\
&+\sum_{j>i}(-1)^{(|f|+|\mathscr{X}_1|+\cdots+|\widehat{\mathscr{X}_k}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{m+1}|)(|\mathscr{X}^1_{m+2}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}^{j-1}_{m+2}|+|\mathscr{X}_k|)} \\
&\cdot[\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}^1_{m+2}),\cdots,\alpha^m(\mathscr{X}_k\cdot\mathscr{X}^i_{m+2}),\cdots,f(\alpha(\mathscr{X}_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_k)},\cdots,\alpha(\mathscr{X}_{m+1}),\alpha(\mathscr{X}^j_{m+2})), \\
&\qquad\cdots,\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}^{n-1}_{m+2}),\alpha^{m+1}(z)] \qquad (\mathrm{l1b}) \\
&+(-1)^{(|f|+|\mathscr{X}_1|+\cdots+|\widehat{\mathscr{X}_k}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{m+1}|)(|\mathscr{X}^1_{m+2}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}^{i-1}_{m+2}|)} \\
&\quad\cdot[\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}^1_{m+2}),\cdots,f(\alpha(\mathscr{X}_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(\mathscr{X}_k)},\cdots,\alpha(\mathscr{X}_{m+1}),\mathscr{X}_k\cdot\mathscr{X}^i_{m+2}), \\
&\qquad\cdots,\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}^{n-1}_{m+2}),\alpha^{m+1}(z)]\Big\}. \qquad (\mathrm{l1c})
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{l3}) = &-\sum_{i=1}^{m+1}(-1)^{i+m}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_i|(|f|+|\mathscr{X}_1|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{i-1}|)} \\
&\cdot\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{(|f|+|\mathscr{X}_1|+\cdots+|\widehat{\mathscr{X}_i}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{m+1}|)(|\mathscr{X}^1_{m+2}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}^{j-1}_{m+2}|)} \\
&\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}_i)\cdot[\alpha^m(\mathscr{X}^1_{m+2}),\cdots,f(\mathscr{X}_1,\cdots,\widehat{\mathscr{X}_i},\cdots,\mathscr{X}_{m+1},\mathscr{X}^j_{m+2}),\cdots,\alpha^m(\mathscr{X}^{n-1}_{m+2}),\alpha^m(z)] \\
= &-\sum_{i=1}^{m+1}(-1)^{i+m}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_i|(|f|+|\mathscr{X}_1|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{i-1}|)} \\
&\cdot\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{(|f|+|\mathscr{X}_1|+\cdots+|\widehat{\mathscr{X}_i}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{m+1}|)(|\mathscr{X}^1_{m+2}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}^{j-1}_{m+2}|)} \\
&\cdot\Big\{\sum_{l<j}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_i|(|\mathscr{X}^1_{m+2}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}^{l-1}_{m+2}|)}[\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}^1_{m+2}),\cdots,\alpha^m(\mathscr{X}_i)\cdot\alpha^m(\mathscr{X}^l_{m+2}), \\
&\quad\cdots,\alpha\circ f(\mathscr{X}_1,\cdots,\widehat{\mathscr{X}_i},\cdots,\mathscr{X}_{m+1},\mathscr{X}^j_{m+2}),\cdots,\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}^{n-1}_{m+2}),\alpha^{m+1}(z)] \qquad (\mathrm{l3a}) \\
&+\sum_{l>j}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_i|(|f|+|\mathscr{X}_1|+\cdots+|\widehat{\mathscr{X}_i}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{m+1}|+|\mathscr{X}^1_{m+2}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}^{l-1}_{m+2}|)} \\
&\cdot[\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}^1_{m+2}),\cdots,\alpha\circ f(\mathscr{X}_1,\cdots,\widehat{\mathscr{X}_i},\cdots,\mathscr{X}_{m+1},\mathscr{X}^j_{m+2}),\cdots,\alpha^m(\mathscr{X}_i)\cdot\alpha^m(\mathscr{X}^l_{m+2}) \\
&\quad,\cdots,\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}^{n-1}_{m+2}),\alpha^{m+1}(z)] \qquad (\mathrm{l3b}) \\
&+(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_i|(|\mathscr{X}^1_{m+2}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}^{j-1}_{m+2}|)}\cdot[\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}^1_{m+2}),\cdots,\alpha^m(\mathscr{X}_i)\cdot f(\mathscr{X}_1,\cdots,\widehat{\mathscr{X}_i},\cdots, \\
&\quad\mathscr{X}_{m+1},\mathscr{X}^j_{m+2}),\cdots,\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}^{n-1}_{m+2}),\alpha^{m+1}(z)] \qquad (\mathrm{l3c}) \\
&+(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_i|(|\mathscr{X}_{m+2}|+|f|+|\mathscr{X}_1|+\cdots+|\widehat{\mathscr{X}_i}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{m+1}|)}\cdot[\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}^1_{m+2}),\cdots,\alpha\circ f(\mathscr{X}_1,\cdots,\widehat{\mathscr{X}_i},\cdots, \\
&\quad\mathscr{X}_{m+1},\mathscr{X}^j_{m+2}),\cdots,\alpha^{m+1}(\mathscr{X}^{n-1}_{m+2}),\alpha^m(\mathscr{X}_i)\cdot\alpha^m(z)]\Big\}. \qquad (\mathrm{l3d})
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $(\mathrm{l4})+(\mathrm{l1c})=0,(\mathrm{l2})+(\mathrm{l3d})=0,(\mathrm{l1a})+(\mathrm{l3b})=0,(\mathrm{l1b})+(\mathrm{l3a})=0$, one gets $(\mathrm{l1})+(\mathrm{l2})+(\mathrm{l3})+(\mathrm{l4})+(\mathrm{l5})=0$. Then $\delta^{m+1}\circ\delta^m=0$. Therefore, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $f\in C^m(\mathfrak{g},V)$ be an m-cochain. Then $\delta^{m+1}\circ\delta^m(f)=0$.*

Remark 2.8. *The m-coboundary operator δ^m as above is a generalization of the one defined for n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras in [2] and for first-class n-Lie superalgebras in [17].*

The map $f\in C^m(\mathfrak{g},V)$ is called an m-supercocycle if $\delta^m f=0$. We denote by $Z^m(\mathfrak{g},V)$ the graded subspace spanned by m-supercocycles. Since $\delta^{m+1}\circ\delta^m(f)=0$ for all $f\in C^m(\mathfrak{g},V)$, $\delta^{m-1}C^{m-1}(\mathfrak{g},V)$ is a graded subspace of $Z^m(\mathfrak{g},V)$. Therefore we can define a graded cohomology space $H^m(\mathfrak{g},V)$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ as the graded factor space $Z^m(\mathfrak{g},V)/\delta^{m-1}C^{m-1}(\mathfrak{g},V)$.

3 Extensions of n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras

Definition 3.1. *Let* $(\mathfrak{g}_i, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot]_i, \alpha_i)(i = 1, 2, \cdots)$ *be a family of* n*-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras over* $\mathbb{K}$*.* $f_i : g_i \to g_{i+1}$ *is a morphism of* n*-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras. The sequence*

$$\mathfrak{g}_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} \mathfrak{g}_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_i \xrightarrow{f_i} \mathfrak{g}_{i+1} \xrightarrow{f_{i+1}} \cdots$$

is called an exact sequence of n*-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras, if it satisfies* $\mathrm{Ker} f_{i+1} = f_i(g_i)(i = 1, 2, \cdots)$.

Definition 3.2. *Let* $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot]_\mathfrak{g}, \alpha_\mathfrak{g}), (\mathfrak{a}, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot]_\mathfrak{a}, \alpha_\mathfrak{a})$ *and* $(\mathfrak{b}, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot]_\mathfrak{b}, \alpha_\mathfrak{b})$ *be* n*-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras over* $\mathbb{K}$*.* $\mathfrak{g}$ *is called an extension of* $\mathfrak{b}$ *by* $\mathfrak{a}$ *if there is an exact sequence of* n*-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras:*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{a} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathfrak{b} \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot]_\mathfrak{g}, \alpha)$ and $(\mathfrak{b}, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot]_\mathfrak{b}, \beta)$ be two n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras over $\mathbb{K}$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{a}$ is an abelian graded ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$, i.e., $\mathfrak{a}$ is a graded ideal such that $[\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}, \underbrace{\mathfrak{g}, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}}_{n-2}] = 0$. We consider the case that $\mathfrak{g}$ is an extension of $\mathfrak{b}$ by an abelian graded ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\tau : \mathfrak{b} \to \mathfrak{g}$ be a homogeneous even linear map with $\pi \circ \tau = \mathrm{id}_\mathfrak{b}$ and $\alpha \circ \tau = \tau \circ \beta$. Let $\mathscr{B} = b_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge b_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{b}^{\wedge^{n-1}}$ and let $\rho : \mathfrak{b}^{\wedge^{n-1}} \to \mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{a}), \mathscr{B} \mapsto \tau(\mathscr{B}) = \tau(b_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \tau(b_{n-1})$. Then $\mathfrak{a}$ becomes a graded $\mathfrak{b}$-module. Let us write $\tau(b) = (0, b)$ and then denote the elements of $\mathfrak{g}$ by (a, b) for all $a \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $b \in \mathfrak{b}$. Then, the bracket in $\mathfrak{g}$ is defined by

$$[(a_1, b_1), \cdots, (a_n, b_n)] = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} [\tau(b_1), \cdots, a_i, \cdots, \tau(b_n)] + f(\mathscr{B}, b_n),\ \mathscr{B} \cdot b_n \right), \tag{3.1}$$

where $f(\mathscr{B}, b_n) = \tau(\mathscr{B}) \cdot \tau(b_n) - \tau(\mathscr{B} \cdot b_n)$ and $|(a_i, b_i)| = |a_i| = |b_i|, \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$. It is easy to see that $f \in C^1(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{a})_{\bar{0}}$. Let $\mathscr{A} = a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_{n-1}, (\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{B}) = (a_1, b_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (a_{n-1}, b_{n-1})$ and $(\alpha(\mathscr{A}), \beta(\mathscr{B})) = (\alpha(a_1), \beta(b_1)) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha(a_{n-1}), \beta(b_{n-1}))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\alpha(\mathscr{A}), \beta(\mathscr{B})) \cdot ((\mathscr{A}', \mathscr{B}') \cdot (a'_n, b'_n)) \\
&- \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{|\mathscr{A}|(|a'_1| + \cdots + |a'_{i-1}|)} [(\alpha(a'_1), \beta(b'_1)), \cdots, (\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{B}) \cdot (a'_i, b'_i), \cdots, (\alpha(a'_n), \beta(b'_n))] \\
=&(\alpha(\mathscr{A}), \beta(\mathscr{B})) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} [\tau(b'_1), \cdots, a'_i, \cdots, \tau(b'_n)] + f(\mathscr{B}', b'_n),\ \mathscr{B}' \cdot b'_n \right) \\
&- \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{|\mathscr{A}|(|a'_1| + \cdots + |a'_{i-1}|)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\cdot\left[(\alpha(a_1'),\beta(b_1')),\cdots,\left(\left(\begin{array}{l}\sum\limits_{j=1}^{n-1}[\tau(b_1),\cdots,a_j,\cdots,\\ \quad\tau(b_{n-1}),\tau(b_i')]\\ +\tau(\mathscr{B})\cdot a_i'+f(\mathscr{B},b_i')\end{array}\right),\ \mathscr{B}\cdot b_i'\right),\cdots,(\alpha(a_n'),\beta(b_n'))\right]\\
=&\left(\left(\begin{array}{l}\tau(\beta(\mathscr{B}))\cdot\left(\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}[\tau(b_1'),\cdots,a_i',\cdots,\tau(b_n')]\right)\\ +\sum\limits_{j=1}^{n-1}[\tau(\beta(b_1)),\cdots,\alpha(a_j),\cdots,\tau(\beta(b_{n-1})),\tau(\mathscr{B}'\cdot b_n')]\\ +\tau(\beta(\mathscr{B}))\cdot f(\mathscr{B}',b_n')+f(\beta(\mathscr{B}),\mathscr{B}'\cdot b_n')\end{array}\right),\ \beta(\mathscr{B})\cdot(\mathscr{B}'\cdot b_n)\right)\\
&-\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{|\mathscr{A}|(|a_1'|+\cdots+|a_{i-1}'|)}\\
&\cdot\left(\left(\begin{array}{l}\sum\limits_{j=1}^{n-1}\Big[\tau(\beta(b_1')),\cdots,[\tau(b_1),\cdots,a_j,\cdots,\\ \quad\tau(b_{n-1}),\tau(b_i')],\cdots,\tau(\beta(b_n'))\Big]\\ +[\tau(\beta(b_1')),\cdots,\tau(\mathscr{B})\cdot a_i',\cdots,\tau(\beta(b_n'))]\\ +[\tau(\beta(b_1')),\cdots,f(\mathscr{B},b_i'),\cdots,\tau(\beta(b_n'))]\\ +\sum\limits_{j\neq i}[\tau(\beta(b_1')),\cdots,\alpha(a_j'),\cdots,\tau(\mathscr{B}\cdot b_i'),\\ \quad\cdots,\tau(\beta(b_n'))]\\ +f(\beta(b_1'),\cdots,\mathscr{B}\cdot b_i',\cdots,\beta(b_n'))\end{array}\right),\ [\beta(b_1'),\cdots,\mathscr{B}\cdot b_i',\cdots,\beta(b_n')]\right)\\
=&(\delta^1 f(\mathscr{B},\mathscr{B}',b_n'),0).
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $f\in Z^1(\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{a})_{\bar{0}}$.

Conversely, suppose that an abelian n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{a}$ is a graded $\mathfrak{b}$-module, $\rho(\mathscr{B})\cdot a:=\tau(\mathscr{B})\cdot a$, and $f\in Z^1(\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{a})_{\bar{0}}$. Let $\mathfrak{g}:=(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b})=\{(x,y)|x\in\mathfrak{a},y\in\mathfrak{b}\}$, $\alpha^{'}:=\alpha+\beta$, where $(\alpha+\beta)(x,y)=(\alpha(x),\beta(y)),x\in\mathfrak{a},y\in\mathfrak{b}$. Then $(\mathfrak{g},\alpha^{'})$ is an n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra with the bracket defined by (3.1). Then we can define an exact sequence

$$0\longrightarrow\mathfrak{a}\xrightarrow{\ \iota\ }\mathfrak{g}\xrightarrow{\ \pi\ }\mathfrak{b}\longrightarrow 0\,,$$

where $\iota(a)=(a,0),\pi(a,b)=b$. Thus $\mathfrak{g}$ is an extension of $\mathfrak{b}$ by $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\iota(\mathfrak{a})$ is an abelian graded ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Therefore, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose that $(\mathfrak{a},[\cdot,\cdots,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{a}},\alpha)$ and $(\mathfrak{b},[\cdot,\cdots,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{b}},\beta)$ are two n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras over $\mathbb{K}$ and $\mathfrak{a}$ is abelian. Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between extensions of $\mathfrak{b}$ by $\mathfrak{a}$ and $Z^1(\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{a})_{\bar{0}}$.*

4 T^*-extensions of n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot], \alpha)$ be an n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra and $\mathfrak{g}^*$ be its dual space. Since $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}^* \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}^*$ are $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded vector space, the direct sum $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^* = (\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}^*) \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}^*)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded vector space. In the sequel, whenever $x + f \in \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*$ appears, it means that $x + f$ is homogeneous and $|x + f| = |x| = |f|$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mathfrak{g}^*$ be the dual $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded vector space of an n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot], \alpha)$. Let us consider the even linear map $\mathrm{ad}^* : \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge^{n-1}} \to \mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ defined by*

$$\mathrm{ad}^*(\mathscr{X})(f)(z) = -(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}||f|} f(\mathrm{ad}\mathscr{X}(z)),$$

for all $\mathscr{X} \in \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge^{n-1}}$, $f \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ and $z \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then ad^* is a representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathfrak{g}^*$ if and only if the following conditions hold:

$$\mathrm{ad}(\mathscr{X})\mathrm{ad}\alpha(\mathscr{Y}) - (-1)^{|\mathscr{X}||\mathscr{Y}|}\mathrm{ad}(\mathscr{Y})\mathrm{ad}\alpha(\mathscr{X}) = \alpha \circ \mathrm{ad}[\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{Y}]_\alpha; \tag{i}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{ad}(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-2}, y_i)\mathrm{ad}(\alpha(y_1), \cdots, \widehat{\alpha(y_i)}, \cdots, \alpha(y_n)) =& (-1)^{(|x_1|+\cdots+|x_{n-2}|)(|y_1|+\cdots+\widehat{|y_i|}+\cdots+|y_n|)} \\ \cdot\Big\{ &- \mathrm{ad}(\alpha(y_1), \cdots, \widehat{\alpha(y_i)}, \cdots, \alpha(y_n))\mathrm{ad}(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-2}, y_i)\Big\}\end{aligned} \tag{ii}$$

for all $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. We call the representation ad^* the coadjoint representation of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) We firstly prove that the necessity holds. Then by the definition of ad^*, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{ad}^*(\alpha(\mathscr{X}))\mathrm{ad}^*(\mathscr{Y})(f)(z) =& -(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}|(|\mathscr{Y}|+|f|)}\mathrm{ad}^*(\mathscr{Y})(f)(\mathrm{ad}\alpha(\mathscr{X})(z)) \\ =& -(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}|(|\mathscr{Y}|+|f|)}(-(-1)^{|\mathscr{Y}||f|} f(\mathrm{ad}(\mathscr{Y})\mathrm{ad}\alpha(\mathscr{X})(z)) \\ =& (-1)^{|\mathscr{X}|(|\mathscr{Y}|+|f|)+|\mathscr{Y}||f|} f(\mathrm{ad}(\mathscr{Y})\mathrm{ad}\alpha(\mathscr{X})(z))\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}||\mathscr{Y}|}\mathrm{ad}^*\alpha(\mathscr{Y})\mathrm{ad}^*(\mathscr{X})(f)(z) =& (-1)^{|\mathscr{X}||\mathscr{Y}|}(-(-1)^{|\mathscr{Y}|(|\mathscr{X}|+|f|)}\mathrm{ad}^*(\mathscr{X})(f)(\mathrm{ad}\alpha(\mathscr{Y})(z)) \\ =& -(-1)^{|\mathscr{Y}||f|}(-(-1)^{|x||f|} f(\mathrm{ad}(\mathscr{X})\mathrm{ad}\alpha(\mathscr{Y})(z)) \\ =& (-1)^{(|\mathscr{X}|+|\mathscr{Y}|)|f|} f(\mathrm{ad}(\mathscr{X})\mathrm{ad}\alpha(\mathscr{Y})(z)).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{ad}^*([\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{Y}]_\alpha) \circ \nu(f)(z) =& -(-1)^{(|\mathscr{X}|+|\mathscr{Y}|)|f|)}\nu(f)(\mathrm{ad}[\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{Y}]_\alpha(z)) \\ =& -(-1)^{(|\mathscr{X}|+|\mathscr{Y}|)|f|} f(\alpha \circ \mathrm{ad}[\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{Y}]_\alpha(z)).\end{aligned}$$

By (2.13), we have $\mathrm{ad}(\mathscr{X})\mathrm{ad}\alpha(\mathscr{Y}) - (-1)^{|\mathscr{X}||\mathscr{Y}|}\mathrm{ad}(\mathscr{Y})\mathrm{ad}\alpha(\mathscr{X}) = \alpha \circ \mathrm{ad}[\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{Y}]_\alpha$.

$$\mathrm{ad}^*(\alpha(x_1), \cdots, \alpha(x_{n-2}), [y_1, \cdots, y_n])\nu(f)(z)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= -(-1)^{(|x_1|+\cdots+|x_{n-2}|+|y_1|+\cdots+|y_n|)|f|} f[\alpha(x_1),\cdots,\alpha(x_{n-2}),[y_1,\cdots,y_n],\alpha(z)] \\
&= -(-1)^{(|x_1|+\cdots+|x_{n-2}|+|y_1|+\cdots+|y_n|)|f|}(-(-1)^{|z|(|y_1|+\cdots+|y_n|)}) \\
&\quad \cdot f[\alpha(x_1),\cdots,\alpha(x_{n-2}),\alpha(z),[y_1,\cdots,y_n]] \\
&= -(-1)^{(|x_1|+\cdots+|x_{n-2}|+|y_1|+\cdots+|y_n|)|f|}(-(-1)^{|z|(|y_1|+\cdots+|y_n|)}) \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{(|x_1|+\cdots+|x_{n-2}|+|z|)(|y_1|+\cdots+|y_{i-1}|)} \\
&\quad \cdot f[\alpha(y_1),\cdots,\alpha(y_{i-1}),[x_1,\cdots,x_{n-2},z,y_i],\alpha(y_{i+1}),\cdots,\alpha(y_n)] \\
&= -\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{n-i}(-1)^{|y_i|(|y_{i+1}|+\cdots+|y_n|)+(|x_1|+\cdots+|x_{n-2}|+|y_1|+\cdots+|y_n|)|f|} \\
&\quad \cdot (-1)^{(|x_1|+\cdots+|x_{n-2}|)(|y_1|+\cdots+|\widehat{y_i}|+\cdots+|y_n|)} f[\alpha(y_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(y_i)},\cdots,\alpha(y_n),[x_1,\cdots,x_{n-2},y_i,z]] \\
&= -\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{n-i}(-1)^{|y_i|(|y_{i+1}|+\cdots+|y_n|)+(|x_1|+\cdots+|x_{n-2}|+|y_1|+\cdots+|y_n|)|f|} \\
&\quad \cdot (-1)^{(|x_1|+\cdots+|x_{n-2}|)(|y_1|+\cdots+|\widehat{y_i}|+\cdots+|y_n|)} \\
&\quad \cdot f(\mathrm{ad}(\alpha(y_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(y_i)},\cdots,\alpha(y_n))\mathrm{ad}(x_1,\cdots,x_{n-2},y_i)(z))
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{n-i}(-1)^{(|x_1|+\cdots+|x_{n-2}|)(|y_1|+\cdots+|\widehat{y_i}|+\cdots+|y_n|)+|y_i|(|y_{i+1}|+\cdots+|y_n|)} \\
&\qquad \cdot \mathrm{ad}^*(\alpha(y_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(y_i)},\cdots,\alpha(y_n))\mathrm{ad}^*(x_1,\cdots,x_{n-2},y_i)(f)(z) \\
=&\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{n-i}(-1)^{(|x_1|+\cdots+|x_{n-2}|)(|y_1|+\cdots+|\widehat{y_i}|+\cdots+|y_n|)+|y_i|(|y_{i+1}|+\cdots+|y_n|)} \\
&\qquad \cdot (-(-1)^{(|y_1|+\cdots+|\widehat{y_i}|+\cdots+|y_n|)(|x_1|+\cdots+|x_{n-2}|+|y_i|+|f|)}) \\
&\qquad \cdot \mathrm{ad}^*(x_1,\cdots,x_{n-2},y_i)(f)(\mathrm{ad}(\alpha(y_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(y_i)},\cdots,\alpha(y_n))(z)) \\
=&\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{n-i}(-1)^{|y_i|(|y_1|+\cdots+|y_{i-1}|)+|f|(|y_1|+\cdots+|y_n|+|x_1|+\cdots+|x_{n-2}|)} \\
&\qquad \cdot f(\mathrm{ad}(x_1,\cdots,x_{n-2},y_i)\mathrm{ad}(\alpha(y_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(y_i)},\cdots,\alpha(y_n))(z)).
\end{aligned}
$$

By (2.14), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{ad}(x_1,\cdots,x_{n-2},y_i)\mathrm{ad}(\alpha(y_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(y_i)},\cdots,\alpha(y_n)) =&(-1)^{(|x_1|+\cdots+|x_{n-2}|)(|y_1|+\cdots+|\widehat{y_i}|+\cdots+|y_n|)} \\
&\cdot\Big\{-\mathrm{ad}(\alpha(y_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(y_i)},\cdots,\alpha(y_i))\mathrm{ad}(x_1,\cdots,x_{n-2},y_i)\Big\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

($\Leftarrow$) It is easy to see that the sufficiency holds. The proof is complete. □

Let θ be a homogeneous n-linear map from $\mathfrak{g}^{\wedge^n}$ into $\mathfrak{g}^*$ of degree 0. Now we define a

bracket on $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*$:

$$\begin{aligned}[x_1+f_1,\cdots,x_n+f_n]_\theta =&[x_1,\cdots,x_n]_\mathfrak{g}+\theta(x_1,\cdots,x_n)\\ &+\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{n-i}(-1)^{|x_i|(|x_{i+1}|+\cdots+|x_n|)}\mathrm{ad}^*(x_1,\cdots,\widehat{x_i},\cdots,x_n)\cdot f_i.\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Theorem 4.2. *Let $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdots,\cdot],\alpha)$ be an n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra. Assume that the coadjoint representation exists. Then $(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^*,[\cdot,\cdots,\cdot]_\theta,\alpha')$ is an n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra if and only if $\theta\in Z^1(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^*)_{\bar{0}}$, where $\alpha'(x+f)=\alpha(x)+f\circ\alpha,\forall x\in\mathfrak{g},y\in\mathfrak{g}^*$.*

Proof. It's clear that $[\cdot,\cdots,\cdot]_\theta$ satisfies (1.2) if and only if $\theta\in C^1(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^*)_{\bar{0}}$. Let $\mathscr{X}+\mathscr{F}=(x_1+f_1)\wedge\cdots\wedge(x_{n-1}+f_{n-1})$ and $\mathscr{Y}+\mathscr{G}=(y_1+g_1)\wedge\cdots\wedge(y_{n-1}+g_{n-1})$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&(\alpha'(\mathscr{X}+\mathscr{F}))\cdot((\mathscr{Y}+\mathscr{G})\cdot(y_n+g_n))\\ =&(\alpha(\mathscr{X})+\mathscr{F}\circ\alpha)\cdot\Big\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{n-i}(-1)^{|y_i|(|y_{i+1}|+\cdots+|y_n|)}\mathrm{ad}^*(y_1,\cdots,\widehat{y_i},\cdots,y_n)\cdot g_i\\ &+\mathscr{Y}\cdot y_n+\theta(\mathscr{Y},y_n)\Big\}\\ =&\alpha(\mathscr{X})\cdot(\mathscr{Y}\cdot y_n)+\theta(\alpha(\mathscr{X}),\mathscr{Y}\cdot y_n)+\mathrm{ad}^*(\alpha(\mathscr{X}))\cdot\theta(\mathscr{Y},y_n)\\ &+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{n-j}(-1)^{|x_j|(|x_{j+1}|+\cdots+|x_{n-1}|+|\mathscr{Y}|+|y_n|)}\mathrm{ad}^*(\alpha(x_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(x_j)},\cdots,\alpha(x_{n-1}),\mathscr{Y}\cdot y_n)\\ &\cdot(f_j\circ\alpha)+\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{n-i}(-1)^{|y_i|(|y_{i+1}|+\cdots+|y_n|)}\mathrm{ad}^*(\alpha(\mathscr{X}))\cdot(\mathrm{ad}^*(y_1,\cdots,\widehat{y_i},\cdots,y_n)\cdot g_i)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}|(|y_1|+\cdots+|y_{i-1}|)}[\alpha(y_1)+(g_1\circ\alpha),\cdots,(\mathscr{X}+\mathscr{F})\cdot(y_i+g_i),\cdots,\alpha(y_n)+(g_n\circ\alpha)]_\theta\\ =&\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}|(|y_1|+\cdots+|y_{i-1}|)}\Big[\alpha(y_1)+(g_1\circ\alpha),\cdots,\Big\{\mathscr{X}\cdot y_i+\theta(\mathscr{X},y_i)+\mathrm{ad}^*(\mathscr{X})\cdot g_i\\ &+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{n-j}(-1)^{|x_j|(|x_{j+1}|+\cdots+|x_{n-1}|+|y_i|)}\mathrm{ad}^*(x_1,\cdots,\widehat{x_j},\cdots,x_{n-1},y_i)\cdot f_j\Big\},\cdots,\\ &\alpha(y_n)+(g_n\circ\alpha)\Big]_\theta\\ =&\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}|(|y_1|+\cdots+|y_{i-1}|)}\Big\{[\alpha(y_1),\cdots,\mathscr{X}\cdot y_i,\cdots,\alpha(y_n)]+\theta(\alpha(y_1),\cdots,\mathscr{X}\cdot y_i,\cdots,\\ &\alpha(y_n))\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\sum_{k<i}(-1)^{n-k}(-1)^{|y_k|(|y_{k+1}|+\cdots+|y_n|+|\mathscr{X}|)}\mathrm{ad}^*(\alpha(y_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(y_k)},\cdots,\mathscr{X}\cdot y_i,\cdots,\\
&\alpha(y_n))\cdot(g_k\circ\alpha)\\
&+\sum_{i<k}(-1)^{n-k}(-1)^{|y_k|(|y_{k+1}|+\cdots+|y_n|)}\mathrm{ad}^*(\alpha(y_1),\cdots,\mathscr{X}\cdot y_i,\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(y_k)},\cdots,\\
&\alpha(y_n))\cdot(g_k\circ\alpha)\\
&+(-1)^{n-i}(-1)^{(|\mathscr{X}|+|y_i|)(|y_{i+1}|+\cdots+|y_n|)}\mathrm{ad}^*(\alpha(y_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(y_i)},\cdots,\alpha(y_n))\cdot\Big\{\theta(\mathscr{X},y_i)+\\
&\mathrm{ad}^*(\mathscr{X})\cdot g_i+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{n-j}(-1)^{|x_j|(|x_{j+1}|+\cdots+|x_{n-1}|+|y_i|)}\mathrm{ad}^*(x_1,\cdots,\widehat{x_j},\cdots,x_{n-1},y_i)\cdot f_j\Big\}\Big\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $[,\cdots,]_{\mathfrak{g}}$ satisfies $(1.3')$ and $\mathrm{ad}^*(\mathscr{X})$ satisfies (2.14), it can be concluded that $[,\cdots,]_\theta$ satisfies $(1.3')$ if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=&\theta(\alpha(\mathscr{X}),\mathscr{Y}\cdot y_n)+\mathrm{ad}^*(\alpha(\mathscr{X}))\cdot\theta(\mathscr{Y},y_n)-\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}|(|y_1|+\cdots+|y_{i-1}|)}\\
&\cdot\theta(\alpha(y_1),\cdots,\mathscr{X}\cdot y_i,\cdots,\alpha(y_n))-\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}|(|y_1|+\cdots+|y_{i-1}|)}\\
&\cdot(-1)^{n-i}(-1)^{(|\mathscr{X}|+|y_i|)(|y_{i+1}|+\cdots+|y_n|)}\cdot\mathrm{ad}^*(\alpha(y_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(y_i)},\cdots,\alpha(y_n))\cdot\theta(\mathscr{X},y_i)\\
=&\delta\theta(\mathscr{X},\mathscr{Y},y_n),
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e., $\theta\in Z^1(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^*)_{\bar{0}}$. □

Definition 4.3. *Let $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdots,\cdot],\alpha)$ be an n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra. A bilinear form $\langle,\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ is said to be nondegenerate if*

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\perp}=\{x\in\mathfrak{g}|\langle x,y\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}=0,\forall y\in\mathfrak{g}\}=0;$$

invariant if

$$\langle[x_1,\cdots,x_{n-1},y]_{\mathfrak{g}},z\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}=-(-1)^{(|x_1|+\cdots+|x_{n-1}|)|y|}\langle y,[x_1,\cdots,x_{n-1},z]_{\mathfrak{g}}\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}},\forall x_1,\cdots,x_{n-1},y,z\in\mathfrak{g};$$

supersymmetric if

$$\langle x,y\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}=(-1)^{|x||y|}\langle y,x\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}};$$

consistent if

$$\langle x,y\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}=0,\forall x,y\in\mathfrak{g},|x|\neq|y|;$$

α is called $\langle,\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$-symmetric, if

$$\langle\alpha(x),y\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}=\langle\alpha(y),x\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}},\forall x,y\in\mathfrak{g};$$

a subspace I of L is called isotropic if $I\subseteq I^{\perp}$.

In this section, we only consider consistent bilinear forms. If $\mathfrak{g}$ admits a nondegenerate invariant supersymmetric bilinear form $\langle,\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that α is $\langle,\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$-symmetric, then we

call $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha, \langle , \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}})$ *a metric n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra. In particular, a metric vector space is a pair* (V, α) *consisting of a* $\mathbb{Z}_2$*-graded vector space* $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$ *and an endmorphism* α *of* V *admitting a nondegenerate invariant supersymmetric bilinear form* $\langle , \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$ *such that* α *is* $\langle , \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$*-symmetric.*

Lemma 4.4. *Define a bilinear form* $\langle , \rangle_\theta : (\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*) \times (\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*) \to \mathbb{K}$ *by*

$$\langle x + f, y + g \rangle_\theta = f(y) + (-1)^{|x||y|} g(x).$$

Then $\langle y + g, x + f \rangle_\theta = (-1)^{|x||y|} \langle x + f, y + g \rangle_\theta, \langle , \rangle_\theta$ *is nondegenerate and* $\alpha^{'}$ *is* $\langle , \rangle_\theta$*-symmetric, where* $\alpha^{'}(x + f) = \alpha(x) + f \circ \alpha, x \in \mathfrak{g}, f \in \mathfrak{g}^*$*. Moreover,* $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot]_\theta, \alpha^{'}, \langle , \rangle_\theta)$ *is metric if and only if the following identity holds:*

$$\theta(\mathscr{X}, y)(z) + (-1)^{|y||z|} \theta(\mathscr{X}, z)(y) = 0. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. If $x + f$ is orthogonal to all elements of $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*$, then for arbitrary element $y + g \in \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*$, we have $f(y) = 0$ and $(-1)^{|x||y|} g(x) = 0$, which implies that $x = 0$ and $f = 0$, so $\langle , \rangle_\theta$ is nondegenerate. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y + g, x + f \rangle_\theta =& g(x) + (-1)^{|y||x|} f(y) \\ =& (-1)^{|x||y|} (f(y) + (-1)^{|x||y|} g(x)) \\ =& (-1)^{|x||y|} \langle x + f, y + g \rangle_\theta. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha^{'}(x + f), y + g \rangle_\theta =& \langle \alpha(x) + f \circ \alpha, y + g \rangle_\theta \\ =& f \circ \alpha(y) + (-1)^{|x||y|} g(\alpha(x)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x + f, \alpha^{'}(y + g) \rangle_\theta =& \langle x + f, \alpha(y) + g \circ \alpha \rangle_\theta \\ =& f\alpha(y) + (-1)^{|x||y|} g \circ \alpha(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\langle \alpha^{'}(x + f), y + g \rangle_\theta = \langle x + f, \alpha^{'}(y + g) \rangle_\theta$.

Furthermore, $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*, \langle , \rangle_\theta)$ is metric if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} 0 =& \langle (\mathscr{X} + \mathscr{F}) \cdot (y + g), z + h \rangle_\theta + (-1)^{|\mathscr{X}||y|} \langle y + g, (\mathscr{X} + \mathscr{F}) \cdot (z + h) \rangle_\theta \\ =& \langle \mathscr{X} \cdot y + \theta(\mathscr{X}, y) + \mathrm{ad}^*(\mathscr{X}) \cdot g, z + h \rangle_\theta \\ &+ \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-i} (-1)^{|x_i|(|x_{i+1}| + \cdots + |x_{n-1}| + |y|)} \mathrm{ad}^*(x_1, \cdots, \widehat{x_i}, \cdots, x_{n-1}, y) \cdot f_i, z + h \right\rangle_\theta \\ &+ (-1)^{|\mathscr{X}||y|} \langle y + g, \mathscr{X} \cdot z + \theta(\mathscr{X}, z) + \mathrm{ad}^*(\mathscr{X}) \cdot h \rangle_\theta \\ &+ (-1)^{|\mathscr{X}||y|} \left\langle y + g, \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-i} (-1)^{|x_i|(|x_{i+1}| + \cdots + |x_{n-1}| + |z|)} \mathrm{ad}^*(x_1, \cdots, \widehat{x_i}, \cdots, x_{n-1}, z) \cdot f_i \right\rangle_\theta \\ =& \theta(\mathscr{X}, y)(z) + (-1)^{|y||z|} \theta(\mathscr{X}, z)(y), \end{aligned}$$

i.e., (4.2) holds. □

Now we give the definition of T^*-extensions.

Definition 4.5. *For a 1-supercocycle θ satisfying (4.2) we shall call the metric n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra $(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^*, [\cdot,\cdots,\cdot]_\theta, \alpha', \langle,\rangle_\theta)$ the T^*-extension of $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdots,\cdot], \alpha)$ (by θ) and denote it by $(T^*_\theta\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdots,\cdot]_\theta, \alpha')$.*

Theorem 4.6. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdots,\cdot], \alpha)$ be an n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra over a field $\mathbb{K}$. Let*

$$\mathfrak{g}^{(0)}=\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^{(m+1)}=[\mathfrak{g}^{(m)},\cdots,\mathfrak{g}^{(m)}]_\mathfrak{g} \quad and \quad \mathfrak{g}^1=\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^{m+1}=[\mathfrak{g}^m,\mathfrak{g},\cdots,\mathfrak{g}]_\mathfrak{g}, \forall m\geq 0.$$

$\mathfrak{g}$ is called solvable (nilpotent) of length k if and only if there is a smallest integer k such that $\mathfrak{g}^{(k)}=0$ ($\mathfrak{g}^k=0$). Then

(1) If $\mathfrak{g}$ is solvable of length k, then $T^*_\theta\mathfrak{g}$ is solvable of length k or $k+1$.

(2) If $\mathfrak{g}$ is nilpotent of length k, then $T^*_\theta\mathfrak{g}$ is nilpotent of length at least k and at most $2k-1$. In particular, the nilpotent length of $T^*_0\mathfrak{g}$ is k.

(3) If $\mathfrak{g}$ can be decomposed into a direct sum of two Hom-ideals of $\mathfrak{g}$, then $T^*_0\mathfrak{g}$ can be too.

Proof. (1) Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ is solvable of length k. Since $(T^*_\theta\mathfrak{g})^{(m)}/\mathfrak{g}^*\cong\mathfrak{g}^{(m)}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{(k)}=0$, we have $(T^*_\theta\mathfrak{g})^{(k)}\subseteq\mathfrak{g}^*$, which implies $(T^*_\theta\mathfrak{g})^{(k+1)}=0$ because $\mathfrak{g}^*$ is abelian, and it follows that $T^*_\theta\mathfrak{g}$ is solvable of length k or $k+1$.

(2) Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ is nilpotent of length k. Since $(T^*_\theta\mathfrak{g})^m/\mathfrak{g}^*\cong\mathfrak{g}^m$ and $\mathfrak{g}^k=0$, we have $(T^*_\theta\mathfrak{g})^k\subseteq\mathfrak{g}^*$. Let $f\in(T^*_\theta\mathfrak{g})^k\subseteq\mathfrak{g}^*, y\in\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathscr{X}_j+\mathscr{F}_j=(\mathscr{X}_j^1+\mathscr{F}_j^1)\wedge\cdots\wedge(\mathscr{X}_j^{n-1}+\mathscr{F}_j^{n-1})\in(T^*_\theta\mathfrak{g})^{\wedge^{n-1}}$, $j=1,\cdots,k-1$. Then

$$((\mathscr{X}_1+\mathscr{F}_1)\cdots(\mathscr{X}_{k-1}+\mathscr{F}_{k-1})\cdot f)(y)=(\mathrm{ad}^*(\mathscr{X}_1)\cdots\mathrm{ad}^*(\mathscr{X}_{k-1})\cdot f)(y)\in f(\mathfrak{g}^k)=0.$$

This proves that $(T^*_\theta\mathfrak{g})^{2k-1}=0$. Hence $T^*_\theta\mathfrak{g}$ is nilpotent of length at least k and at most $2k-1$.

Now consider the case of trivial T^*-extension $T^*_0\mathfrak{g}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\mathscr{X}_1+\mathscr{F}_1)\cdots(\mathscr{X}_{k-1}+\mathscr{F}_{k-1})\cdot(y+g)\\
=&\mathrm{ad}(\mathscr{X}_1)\cdots\mathrm{ad}(\mathscr{X}_{k-1})\cdot y+\mathrm{ad}^*(\mathscr{X}_1)\cdots\mathrm{ad}^*(\mathscr{X}_{k-1})\cdot g\\
&+\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{n-i}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}_j^i|(|\mathscr{X}_j^{i+1}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_j^{n-1}|+|y|+|\mathscr{X}_{j+1}|+\cdots+|\mathscr{X}_{k-1}|)}\\
&\cdot\mathrm{ad}^*(\mathscr{X}_1)\cdots\mathrm{ad}^*(\mathscr{X}_{j-1})\mathrm{ad}^*(\mathscr{X}_j^1,\cdots,\widehat{\mathscr{X}_j^i},\cdots,\mathscr{X}_j^{n-1},\mathrm{ad}(\mathscr{X}_{j+1})\cdots\mathrm{ad}(\mathscr{X}_{k-1})\cdot y)\cdot\mathscr{F}_j^i\\
=&0.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $(T^*_\theta\mathfrak{g})^k=0$, as required.

(3) Suppose that $0\neq\mathfrak{g}=I\oplus J$, where I and J are two nonzero Hom-ideals of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $I^*=\{f\in\mathfrak{g}^*|f(J)=0\}$ and $J^*=\{f\in\mathfrak{g}^*|f(I)=0\}$. Then I^*(resp. J^*) can canonically be identified with the dual space of I(resp. J) and $\mathfrak{g}^*\cong I^*\oplus J^*$.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}[T_0^*I, T_0^*\mathfrak{g}, \cdots, T_0^*\mathfrak{g}]_0 =& [I \oplus I^*, \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*, \cdots, \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*]_0 \\ =& [I, \mathfrak{g}, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [I^*, \mathfrak{g}, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}]_0 + [I, \mathfrak{g}, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*]_0 \\ \subseteq& I \oplus I^* = T_0^*I,\end{aligned}$$

since

$$[I^*, \mathfrak{g}, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}]_0(J) = I^*([J, \mathfrak{g}, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \subseteq I^*(J) = 0$$

and

$$[I, \mathfrak{g}, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*]_0(J) = \mathfrak{g}^*([I, J, \mathfrak{g}, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}]_{\mathfrak{g}}) = \mathfrak{g}^*(0) = 0.$$

Moreover, for $x + f \in T_0^*I = I \oplus I^*$, we have $\alpha'(x + f) = \alpha(x) + f \circ \alpha \in I \oplus I^*$ since $f \circ \alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ and $f \circ \alpha(J) \in f(J) = 0$, that is, $\alpha'(T_0^*I) \subseteq T_0^*I$. Then T_0^*I is a Hom-ideal of $T_0^*\mathfrak{g}$ and so is T_0^*J in the same way. Hence $T_0^*\mathfrak{g}$ can be decomposed into the direct sum $T_0^*I \oplus T_0^*J$ of two nonzero Hom-ideals of $T_0^*\mathfrak{g}$. □

Lemma 4.7. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, , [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot], \alpha, \langle , \rangle_\theta)$ be a metric n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra of even dimension m over a field $\mathbb{K}$ and I be an isotropic $m/2$-dimensional Hom-ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then I is abelian.*

Proof. Since $\dim I + \dim I^\perp = m/2 + \dim I^\perp = m$ and $I \subseteq I^\perp$, we have $I = I^\perp$.

By I is a Hom-ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$, one gets

$$\langle \mathfrak{g}, [\mathfrak{g}, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}, I, I]_{\mathfrak{g}} \rangle_\theta = \langle [\mathfrak{g}, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}, I]_{\mathfrak{g}}, I \rangle_\theta \subseteq \langle I, I \rangle_\theta = 0,$$

which implies $[\mathfrak{g}, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}, I, I]_{\mathfrak{g}} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^\perp = 0$. □

Definition 4.8. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}', [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}'}, \beta)$ be two n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras. A linear isomorphism map $\phi : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}'$ is called an isomorphism of n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras, if*

$$\phi \circ \alpha = \beta \circ \phi;$$

$$\phi[x_1, \cdots, x_n]_{\mathfrak{g}} = [\phi(x_1), \cdots, \phi(x_n)]_{\mathfrak{g}'}, \forall x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Definition 4.9. *Two metric n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha, \langle , \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}})$ and $(\mathfrak{g}', [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}'}, \beta, \langle , \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}'})$ is said to be isometric if there exists an n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra isomorphism $\phi : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}'$ such that $\langle x, y \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = \langle \phi(x), \phi(y) \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}'}, \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$.*

Theorem 4.10. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \beta, \langle , \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a metric n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra of dimension m over a field $\mathbb{K}$ of characteristic not 2. Suppose that $(T_\theta^*\mathfrak{g}_1, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot]_\theta, \alpha', \langle , \rangle_\theta)$ is a T^*-extension of $(\mathfrak{g}_1, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_1}, \alpha)$. Then $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \beta, \langle , \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is isometric to $(T_\theta^*\mathfrak{g}_1, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot]_\theta, \alpha', \langle , \rangle_\theta)$ if and only if m is even and $\mathfrak{g}$ contains an isotropic Hom-ideal I of dimension $m/2$. In particular, $\mathfrak{g}_1 \cong \mathfrak{g}/I$.*

Proof. ($\Longrightarrow$) Since $\dim\mathfrak{g}_1 = \dim\mathfrak{g}_1^*$, $\dim\mathfrak{g} = \dim T_\theta^*\mathfrak{g}_1 = m$ is even. Moreover, $\alpha'(f) = f \circ \alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_1^*$ for all $f \in \mathfrak{g}_1^*$. It is clear that $\mathfrak{g}_1^*$ is a Hom-ideal of dimension $m/2$ and by the definition of $\langle , \rangle_\theta$, we have $\langle \mathfrak{g}_1^*, \mathfrak{g}_1^* \rangle_\theta = 0$, i.e., $\mathfrak{g}_1^*$ is isotropic.

($\Longleftarrow$) Suppose that I is an $m/2$-dimensional isotropic graded ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$. By Lemma 4.7, I is abelian. Let $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{g}/I$ and $\pi : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}_1$ be the canonical projection. Since $\mathrm{ch}\mathbb{K} \neq 2$, we can choose a complement graded subspace $\mathfrak{g}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \dot{+} I$ and $\mathfrak{g}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_0^\perp$. Then $\mathfrak{g}_0^\perp = \mathfrak{g}_0$ since $\dim\mathfrak{g}_0 = m/2$.

Denote by p_0 (resp. p_1) the projection $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}_0$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g} \to I$) and let f_1^* denote the homogeneous linear map $I \to \mathfrak{g}_1^* : z \mapsto f_1^*(z)$, where $f_1^*(z)(\pi(x)) := \langle z, x \rangle_\mathfrak{g}, \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}, \forall z \in I$.

If $\pi(x) = \pi(y)$, then $x - y \in I$, hence $\langle z, x - y \rangle_\mathfrak{g} \in \langle z, I \rangle_\mathfrak{g} = 0$ and so $\langle z, x \rangle_\mathfrak{g} = \langle z, y \rangle_\mathfrak{g}$, which implies f_1^* is well-defined. Moreover, f_1^* is bijective and $|f_1^*(z)| = |z|$ for all $z \in I$.

In addition, f_1^* has the following property:

$$\begin{aligned} &f_1^*([x_1, \cdots, z_k, \cdots, x_n]_\mathfrak{g})(\pi(y)) \\ =&(-1)^{n-k}(-1)^{|z_k|(|x_{k+1}|+\cdots+|x_n|)}\mathrm{ad}^*(\pi(x_1), \cdots, \widehat{\pi(x_k)}, \cdots, \pi(x_n)) \cdot f_1^*(z_k)(\pi(y)), \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where $x_1, \cdots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \cdots, x_n \in \mathfrak{g}$, $z_k \in I$.

Define a homogeneous n-linear map

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \theta : & \mathfrak{g}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{g}_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g}_1^* \\ & (\pi(x_1), \cdots, \pi(x_n)) & \longmapsto & f_1^*(p_1([x_1, \cdots, x_n]_\mathfrak{g})), \end{array}$$

where $x_1, \cdots, x_n \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. Then θ is well-defined since $\pi|_{\mathfrak{g}_0} : \mathfrak{g}_0 \to \mathfrak{g}_0/I \cong \mathfrak{g}/I = \mathfrak{g}_1$ is a linear isomorphism and $\theta \in C^1(\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_1^*)_{\bar{0}}$.

Now, define the bracket on $\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1^*$ by (4.1), then $(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1^*, \alpha')$ is a metric n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra. Let φ be a linear map $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1^*$ defined by $\varphi(x+z) = \pi(x) + f_1^*(z), \forall x+z \in \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \dot{+} I$. Since $\pi|_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ and f_1^* are linear isomorphisms, φ is also a linear isomorphism. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi([x_1 + z_1, \cdots, x_n + z_n]_\mathfrak{g}) = \varphi\left([x_1, \cdots, x_n]_\mathfrak{g} + \sum_{k=1}^n [x_1, \cdots, z_k, \cdots, x_n]_\mathfrak{g}\right) \\ =&\varphi\left(p_0([x_1, \cdots, x_n]_\mathfrak{g}) + p_1([x_1, \cdots, x_n]_\mathfrak{g}) + \sum_{k=1}^n [x_1, \cdots, z_k, \cdots, x_n]_\mathfrak{g}\right) \\ =&\pi([x_1, \cdots, x_n]_\mathfrak{g}) + f_1^*\left(p_1([x_1, \cdots, x_n]_\mathfrak{g}) + \sum_{k=1}^n [x_1, \cdots, z_k, \cdots, x_n]_\mathfrak{g}\right) \\ =&[\pi(x_1), \cdots, \pi(x_n)]_{\mathfrak{g}_1} + \theta(\pi(x_1), \cdots, \pi(x_n)) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k}(-1)^{|z_k|(|x_{k+1}|+\cdots+|x_n|)}\mathrm{ad}^*(\pi(x_1), \cdots, \widehat{\pi(x_k)}, \cdots, \pi(x_n)) \cdot f_1^*(z_k) \\ =&[\pi(x_1) + f_1^*(z_1), \cdots, \pi(x_n) + f_1^*(z_n)]_\theta \end{aligned}$$

$$=[\varphi(x_1+z_1),\cdots,\varphi(x_n+z_n)]_\theta,$$

where we use the definitions of φ and θ and (4.3). Moreover, $\varphi\circ\alpha=\alpha^{'}\circ\varphi$. In fact, for $x+z\in\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_0\dot{+}I$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi\circ\alpha(x+z)&=\varphi(\alpha(x)+\alpha(z))\\ &=\pi(\alpha(x))+f_1^*(\alpha(z))\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^{'}\circ\varphi(x+z)&=\alpha^{'}(\pi(x)+f_1^*(z))\\ &=\alpha(\pi(x))+f_1^*(z)\circ\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}f_1^*(z)\circ\alpha(\pi(x))&=f_1^*(z)\pi(\alpha(x))\\ &=\langle z,\alpha(x)\rangle_\mathfrak{g}=\langle\alpha(z),x\rangle_\mathfrak{g}=f_1^*(\alpha(z))(\pi(x)).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $f_1^*(z)\circ\alpha=f_1^*(\alpha(z))$, one gets $\varphi\circ\alpha=\alpha^{'}\circ\varphi$. Then φ is an isomorphism of n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras, hence $\mathfrak{g}_1\oplus\mathfrak{g}_1^*$ is an n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\varphi(x_0+z),\varphi(x_0'+z')\rangle_\theta&=\langle\pi(x_0)+f_1^*(z),\pi(x_0')+f_1^*(z')\rangle_\theta\\ &=f_1^*(z)(\pi(x_0'))+(-1)^{|x_0||x_0'|}f_1^*(z')(\pi(x_0))\\ &=\langle z,x_0'\rangle_\mathfrak{g}+(-1)^{|x_0||x_0'|}\langle z',x_0\rangle_\mathfrak{g}=\langle x_0+z,x_0'+z'\rangle_\mathfrak{g},\end{aligned}$$

then φ is isometric. The relation

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle[\varphi(x_1+z_1),\cdots,\varphi(x_n+z_n)]_\theta,\varphi(x_{n+1}+z_{n+1})\rangle_\theta\\ =&\langle\varphi([x_1+z_1,\cdots,x_n+z_n]_\mathfrak{g}),\varphi(x_{n+1}+z_{n+1})\rangle_\theta\\ =&\langle[x_1+z_1,\cdots,x_n+z_n]_\mathfrak{g},x_{n+1}+z_{n+1}\rangle_\mathfrak{g}\\ =&-(-1)^{(|x_1|+\cdots+|x_{n-1}|)|x_n|}\langle x_n+z_n,[x_1+z_1,\cdots,x_{n-1}+z_{n-1},x_{n+1}+z_{n+1}]_\mathfrak{g}\rangle_\mathfrak{g}\\ =&-(-1)^{(|x_1|+\cdots+|x_{n-1}|)|x_n|}\langle\varphi(x_n+z_n),[\varphi(x_1+z_1),\cdots,\varphi(x_{n-1}+z_{n-1}),\varphi(x_{n+1}+z_{n+1})]_\theta\rangle_\theta.\end{aligned}$$

For $x+f,y+g\in\mathfrak{g}_1\oplus\mathfrak{g}_1^*$, then there exist $x^{'}+z_1,y^{'}+z_2\in\mathfrak{g}_0\dot{+}I$ such that $\varphi(x^{'}+z_1)=x+f$ and $\varphi(y^{'}+z_2)=y+g$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\alpha^{'}(x+f),y+g\rangle_\theta&=\langle\alpha^{'}(\varphi(x^{'}+z_1)),\varphi(y^{'}+z_2)\rangle_\theta\\ =&\langle\varphi(\alpha(x^{'}+z_1)),\varphi(y^{'}+z_2)\rangle_\theta=\langle\alpha(x^{'}+z_1),y^{'}+z_2\rangle_\theta\\ =&\langle\alpha(y^{'}+z_2),x^{'}+z_1\rangle_\theta=\langle\varphi(\alpha(y^{'}+z_2)),\varphi(x^{'}+z_1)\rangle_\theta\\ =&\langle\alpha^{'}(\varphi(y^{'}+z_2)),\varphi(x^{'}+z_1)\rangle_\theta=\langle\alpha^{'}(y+g),x+f\rangle_\theta.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(\mathfrak{g}_1\oplus\mathfrak{g}_1^*,[\cdot,\cdots,\cdot]_\theta,\alpha^{'},\langle,\rangle_\theta)$ is a metric n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra. In this way, we get a T^*-extension $(T_\theta^*\mathfrak{g}_1,[\cdot,\cdots,\cdot]_\theta,\alpha^{'},\langle,\rangle_\theta)$ of $(\mathfrak{g}_1,[\cdot,\cdots,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_1},\alpha)$ and consequently, $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdots,\cdot]_\mathfrak{g},\beta,\langle,\rangle_\mathfrak{g})$ and $(T_\theta^*\mathfrak{g}_1,[\cdot,\cdots,\cdot]_\theta,\alpha^{'},\langle,\rangle_\theta)$ are isometric as required. □

Suppose that $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot], \alpha)$ is an n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra and θ_1, $\theta_2 \in Z^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)_{\bar{0}}$ satisfying (4.2). $T^*_{\theta_1}\mathfrak{g}$ and $T^*_{\theta_2}\mathfrak{g}$ are said to be *equivalent* if there exists an isomorphism of n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras $\phi : T^*_{\theta_1}\mathfrak{g} \to T^*_{\theta_2}\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\phi|_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$ and the induced map $\bar{\phi} : T^*_{\theta_1}\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^* \to T^*_{\theta_2}\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^*$ is the identity, i.e., $\phi(x) - x \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. Moreover, if ϕ is also an isometry, then $T^*_{\theta_1}\mathfrak{g}$ and $T^*_{\theta_2}\mathfrak{g}$ are said to be *isometrically equivalent*.

Proposition 4.11. *Suppose that $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot], \alpha)$ is an n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra over a field $\mathbb{K}$ of characteristic not 2 and θ_1, $\theta_2 \in Z^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)_{\bar{0}}$ satisfying (4.2). Then we have*

(1) $(T^*_{\theta_1}\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot]_{\theta_1}, \alpha', \langle , \rangle_{\theta_1})$ is equivalent to $(T^*_{\theta_2}\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot]_{\theta_2}, \alpha', \langle , \rangle_{\theta_2})$ if and only if $\theta_1 - \theta_2 := \delta\theta' \in \delta C^0(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)_{\bar{0}}$ and $\theta'(x)\alpha = \theta'(\alpha(x))$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. Moreover,

$$\langle x, y\rangle_{\theta'} := \frac{1}{2}\left(\theta'(x)(y) + (-1)^{|x||y|}\theta'(y)(x)\right) \tag{4.4}$$

becomes a supersymmetric invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$ and α is $\langle , \rangle_{\theta'}$-symmetric.

(2) $T^*_{\theta_1}\mathfrak{g}$ is isometrically equivalent to $T^*_{\theta_2}\mathfrak{g}$ if and only if there is $\theta' \in C^0(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)_{\bar{0}}$ such that $\theta_1 - \theta_2 = \delta\theta'$ and the bilinear form induced by θ' in (4.4) vanishes.

Proof. (1) Let $\phi : T^*_{\theta_1}\mathfrak{g} \to T^*_{\theta_2}\mathfrak{g}$ be an isomorphism of n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras satisfying $\phi|_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$ and $\phi(x) - x \in \mathfrak{g}^*, \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}$. Set $\theta'(x) = \phi(x) - x$. Then $\theta' \in C^0(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)_{\bar{0}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
0 =& \phi([x_1 + f_1, \cdots, x_n + f_n]_{\theta_1}) - [\phi(x_1 + f_1), \cdots, \phi(x_n + f_n)]_{\theta_2} \\
=& \phi([x_1, \cdots, x_n]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \theta_1(x_1, \cdots, x_n) - [x_1 + \theta'(x_1) + f_1, \cdots, x_n + \theta'(x_n) + f_n]_{\theta_2} \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{n-i}(-1)^{|x_i|(|x_{i+1}|+\cdots+|x_n|)}\mathrm{ad}^*(x_1, \cdots, \widehat{x_i}, \cdots, x_n)\cdot f_i \\
=& \theta'([x_1, \cdots, x_n]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \theta_1(x_1, \cdots, x_n) - \theta_2(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \\
&- \sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{n-i}(-1)^{|x_i|(|x_{i+1}|+\cdots+|x_n|)}\mathrm{ad}^*(x_1, \cdots, \widehat{x_i}, \cdots, x_n)\cdot \theta'(x_i) \\
=& \theta_1(x_1, \cdots, x_n) - \theta_2(x_1, \cdots, x_n) - \delta\theta'(x_1, \cdots, x_n).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

By $\alpha'\phi = \phi\alpha'$, we may obtain $\theta'(x)\alpha = \theta'(\alpha(x))$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$.

For the converse, suppose that $\theta' \in C^0(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)_{\bar{0}}$ satisfies $\theta_1 - \theta_2 = \delta\theta'$ and $\theta'(x)\alpha = \theta'(\alpha(x))$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. Let $\phi : T^*_{\theta_1}\mathfrak{g} \to T^*_{\theta_2}\mathfrak{g}$ be defined by $\phi(x + f) = x + \theta'(x) + f$. Then $\phi|_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$ and $\phi(x) - x \in \mathfrak{g}^*, \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}$. Moreover, $\alpha'\phi = \phi\alpha'$. In fact,

$$\alpha'\phi(x + f) = \alpha(x + \theta'(x) + f) = \alpha(x) + \theta'(x)\alpha + f\alpha$$

and

$$\phi\alpha'(x + f) = \phi(\alpha(x) + f\alpha) = \alpha(x) + \theta'(\alpha(x)) + f\alpha.$$

By $\theta'(x)\alpha = \theta'(\alpha(x))$, one gets $\alpha^{'}\phi = \phi\alpha^{'}$. Therefore, ϕ is an isomorphism of n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras, that is, $T^*_{\theta_1}\mathfrak{g}$ is equivalent to $T^*_{\theta_2}\mathfrak{g}$.

It's clear that $\langle , \rangle_{\theta'}$ defined by (4.4) is supersymmetric. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \mathscr{X}\cdot y, z\rangle_{\theta'} + (-1)^{|\mathscr{X}||y|}\langle y, \mathscr{X}\cdot z\rangle_{\theta'}\\
=&\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta'(\mathscr{X}\cdot y)(z) + (-1)^{(|\mathscr{X}|+|y|)|z|}\theta'(z)(\mathscr{X}\cdot y)\right)\\
&+\frac{1}{2}(-1)^{|\mathscr{X}||y|}\left(\theta'(y)(\mathscr{X}\cdot z) + (-1)^{(|\mathscr{X}|+|z|)|y|}\theta'(\mathscr{X}\cdot z)(y)\right)\\
=&\frac{1}{2}\Big\{\theta_2(\mathscr{X}, y)(z) - \theta_1(\mathscr{X}, y)(z) + \mathrm{ad}^*(\mathscr{X})\theta'(y)(z)\\
&\qquad + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{n-i}(-1)^{|x_i|(|x_{i+1}|+\cdots+|x_{n-1}|+|y|)}\mathrm{ad}^*(x_1,\cdots,\widehat{x_i},\cdots,x_{n-1},y)\cdot\theta'(x_i)(z)\Big\}\\
&-\frac{1}{2}(-1)^{|y||z|}\mathrm{ad}^*(\mathscr{X})\cdot\theta'(z)(y) - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{ad}^*(\mathscr{X})\cdot\theta'(y)(z)\\
&+\frac{1}{2}(-1)^{|y||z|}\Big\{\theta_2(\mathscr{X}, z)(y) - \theta_1(\mathscr{X}, z)(y) + \mathrm{ad}^*(\mathscr{X})\theta'(z)(y)\\
&\qquad + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{n-i}(-1)^{|x_i|(|x_{i+1}|+\cdots+|x_{n-1}|+|z|)}\mathrm{ad}^*(x_1,\cdots,\widehat{x_i},\cdots,x_{n-1},z)\cdot\theta'(x_i)(y)\Big\}\\
=&0,
\end{aligned}$$

where we make use of (4.5)=0 and θ_1, θ_2 satisfying (4.2). Then $\langle , \rangle_{\theta'}$ is invariant. In addition,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\alpha(x), y\rangle_{\theta'} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\theta'(\alpha(x))(y) + (-1)^{|x||y|}\theta'(y)(\alpha(x))\right)\\
=&\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta'(\alpha(y))(x) + (-1)^{|x||y|}\theta'(x)(\alpha(y))\right) = \langle\alpha(y), x\rangle_{\theta'}
\end{aligned}$$

since $\theta'(x)\alpha = \theta'(\alpha(x))$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. That is, α is $\langle x, y\rangle_{\theta'}$-symmetric.

(2) Let the isomorphism ϕ be defined as in (1). Then for all $x + f, y + g \in T^*_{\theta_1}\mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle\phi(x+f), \phi(y+g)\rangle_{\theta_2} = \langle x + \theta'(x) + f, y + \theta'(y) + g\rangle_{\theta_2}\\
=&\theta'(x)(y) + f(y) + (-1)^{|x||y|}\theta'(y)(x) + (-1)^{|x||y|}g(x)\\
=&2\langle x, y\rangle_{\theta'} + \langle x + f, y + g\rangle_{\theta_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus ϕ is an isometry if and only if $\langle , \rangle_{\theta'} = 0$. □

Lemma 4.12. *Let $(V, \langle , \rangle_V, \alpha)$ be a metric $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded vector space of dimension m over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{K}$ of characteristic not 2 and $\mathfrak{g} \subseteq gl(V)$ be a Lie superalgebra consisting of nilpotent homogeneous endomorphisms of V such that for each $f \in \mathfrak{g}$, the map $f^+ : V \to V$ defined by $\langle f^+(v), v'\rangle_V = (-1)^{|f||v|}\langle v, f(v')\rangle_V$ is contained in $\mathfrak{g}$, too.*

Suppose that W is an isotropic graded subspace of V which is stable under $\mathfrak{g}$ and α, i.e., $f(W) \subseteq W$ for all $f \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\alpha(W) \subseteq W$, then W is contained in a maximally isotropic graded subspace W_{max} of V which is also stable under $\mathfrak{g}$ and α, moreover, $\dim W_{max} = [m/2]$. If m is even, then $W_{max} = W_{max}^{\perp}$. If m is odd, then $W_{max} \subset W_{max}^{\perp}, \dim W_{max}^{\perp} - \dim W_{max} = 1$, and $f(W_{max}^{\perp}) \subseteq W_{max}$ for all $f \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on m. The base step $m = 0$ is obviously true. For the inductive step, we consider the following two cases.

Case 1: $W \neq 0$ or there is a nonzero $\mathfrak{g}$-stable vector $v \in V$(that is, $\mathfrak{g}(v) \subseteq \mathbb{K}v$) such that $\langle v, v\rangle_V = 0$.

Case 2: $W = 0$ and every nonzero $\mathfrak{g}$-stable vector $v \in V$ satisfies $\langle v, v\rangle_V \neq 0$.

In the first case $\mathbb{K}v$ is a nonzero isotropic $\mathfrak{g}$-stable graded subspace, and $W^{\perp}$ is also $\mathfrak{g}$-stable since $\langle w, f(w^{\perp})\rangle_V = (-1)^{|f||w|}\langle f^{+}(w), w^{\perp}\rangle_V = 0$. Now, consider the bilinear form $\langle , \rangle_{V'}$ on the factor graded space $V' = W^{\perp}/W$ defined by $\langle x^{\perp}+W, y^{\perp}+W\rangle_{V'} := \langle x^{\perp}, y^{\perp}\rangle_V$, then V' is metric. Denote by π the canonical projection $W^{\perp} \to V'$ and define $f' : V' \to V'$ by $f'(\pi(w^{\perp})) = \pi(f(w^{\perp}))$, then f' is well-defined since W and $W^{\perp}$ are $\mathfrak{g}$-stable. Let $\mathfrak{g}' := \{f' | f \in \mathfrak{g}\}$. Then $\mathfrak{g}'$ is a Lie superalgebra. For each $f \in \mathfrak{g}$, there is a positive integer k such that $f^k = 0$, which implies that $(f')^k = 0$. Hence $\mathfrak{g}'$ also consists of nilpotent homogeneous endomorphisms of V'. Note that $\mathfrak{g}'$ satisfies the same conditions of $\mathfrak{g}$. In fact, let $x^{\perp}$ and $y^{\perp}$ be two arbitrary elements in $W^{\perp}$. Then by the definition of $\langle , \rangle_{V'}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle (f')^{+}(\pi(x^{\perp})), \pi(y^{\perp})\rangle_{V'} = (-1)^{|f'||x^{\perp}|}\langle \pi(x^{\perp}), f'(\pi(y^{\perp}))\rangle_{V'} \\
=&(-1)^{|f||x^{\perp}|}\langle \pi(x^{\perp}), \pi(f(y^{\perp}))\rangle_{V'} = (-1)^{|f||x^{\perp}|}\langle x^{\perp}, f(y^{\perp})\rangle_V \\
=&\langle f^{+}(x^{\perp}), y^{\perp}\rangle_V = \langle \pi(f^{+}(x^{\perp})), \pi(y^{\perp})\rangle_{V'} \\
=&\langle (f^{+})'(\pi(x^{\perp})), \pi(y^{\perp})\rangle_{V'},
\end{aligned}$$

for arbitrary $f \in \mathfrak{g}$, which shows that $(f')^{+} = (f^{+})' \in \mathfrak{g}'$ for all $f \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Since $\dim V' = \dim W^{\perp} - \dim W = \dim V - 2\dim W$, we can use the inductive hypothesis to get a maximally isotropic $\mathfrak{g}'$-stable subspace $W'_{max} = W_{max}/W$ in V' and $\alpha(W'_{max}) \subseteq W'_{max}$. Clearly, $\dim W'_{max} = [\frac{\dim V'}{2}] = [\frac{n-2\dim W}{2}] = [n/2] - \dim W$. For all $x^{\perp}, y^{\perp} \in W_{max}$, the relation $\langle x^{\perp}, y^{\perp}\rangle_V = \langle \pi(x^{\perp}), \pi(y^{\perp})\rangle_{V'} = 0$ implies that W_{max} is isotropic. Note that $\dim W_{max} = \dim W'_{max} + \dim W = [n/2]$, then W_{max} is maximally isotropic. Moreover, for all $f \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $w^{\perp} \in W_{max}$, we have $\pi(f(w^{\perp})) = f'(\pi(w^{\perp})) \in W'_{max}$, which implies $f(w^{\perp}) \in W_{max}$. It follows that W_{max} is $\mathfrak{g}$-stable and $\alpha(W_{max}) \subseteq W_{max}$. This proves the first assertion of the lemma in this case.

In the second case, by Engel's Theorem of Lie superalgebras, there is a nonzero $\mathfrak{g}$-stable vector $v \in V$ such that $f(v) = 0$ for all $f \in \mathfrak{g}$. Clearly, $\mathbb{K}v$ is a nondegenerate $\mathfrak{g}$-stable graded subspace of V, then $V = \mathbb{K}v \dotplus (\mathbb{K}v)^{\perp}$ and $(\mathbb{K}v)^{\perp}$ is also $\mathfrak{g}$-stable since $\langle f((kv)^{\perp}), v\rangle_V = (-1)^{|f||v|}\langle (kv)^{\perp}, f^{+}(v)\rangle_V = (-1)^{|f||v|}\langle (kv)^{\perp}, 0\rangle_V = 0, \forall f \in \mathfrak{g}$. Now, if $(\mathbb{K}v)^{\perp} = 0$, then $V = \mathbb{K}v$ and $\mathfrak{g}(V) = 0$, hence $\mathfrak{g} = 0$ and so 0 is the maximally isotropic $\mathfrak{g}$-stable subspace, then the lemma follows. If $(\mathbb{K}v)^{\perp} \neq 0$, then again by Engel's Theorem

of Lie superalgebras there is a nonzero $\mathfrak{g}$-stable vector $w \in (\mathbb{K}v)^{\perp} \subseteq V$ such that $f(w) = 0$ for all $f \in \mathfrak{g}$. It follows that $\mathfrak{g}$ vanishes on the two-dimensional nondegenerate subspace $\mathbb{K}v \dotplus \mathbb{K}w$ of V. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\langle v, v\rangle_V = 1 = \langle w, w\rangle_V$. Set $c = \langle v, w\rangle_V$, then it is easy to check that the nonzero vector $v + (-c + \sqrt{c^2 - 1})w$ is isotropic and $\mathfrak{g}$-stable. This contradicts the assumption of Case 2.

Therefore, the existence of a maximally isotropic $\mathfrak{g}$-stable graded subspace W_{max} containing W is proved. If m is even, then $\dim W_{max}$=$\dim W_{max}^{\perp} = m/2$; if m is odd, then $\dim W_{max}^{\perp} = \frac{m+1}{2}$ and $\dim W_{max} = \frac{m-1}{2}$. Since $\mathfrak{g}'$ is nilpotent, there exists a nonzero $\pi(w^{\perp}) \in V'$ such that $\mathfrak{g}'(\pi(w^{\perp})) = 0$. Note that $\dim V'$=1, which implies $\mathfrak{g}'(V') = 0$, so $\mathfrak{g}(W_{max}^{\perp}) \subseteq W_{max}$. □

Theorem 4.13. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot], \alpha, \langle, \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a nilpotent metric n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra of dimension m over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{K}$ of characteristic not 2. If J is an isotropic Hom-ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$, then $\mathfrak{g}$ contains a maximally Hom-ideal I of dimension $[m/2]$ containing J. Moreover, if m is even, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is isometric to some T^*-extension of $\mathfrak{g}/I$. If m is odd, then $I^{\perp}$ is abelian and $\mathfrak{g}$ is isometric to a nondegenerate graded ideal of codimension 1 in some T^*-extension of $\mathfrak{g}/I$.*

Proof. Consider $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{g}^{\wedge^{n-1}}) = \{\mathrm{ad}\mathscr{X} | \mathscr{X} \in \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge^{n-1}}\}$. Then $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{g}^{\wedge^{n-1}})$ is a Lie superalgebra. For any $\mathscr{X} \in \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge^{n-1}}$, $\mathrm{ad}\mathscr{X}$ is nilpotent since $\mathfrak{g}$ is nilpotent. Then the following identity

$$\langle -\mathrm{ad}\mathscr{X}(y), z\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = (-1)^{|\mathscr{X}||y|}\langle y, \mathrm{ad}\mathscr{X}(z)\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$$

implies $(\mathrm{ad}\mathscr{X})^{+} := -\mathrm{ad}\mathscr{X} \in \mathfrak{g}$. By J is an isotropic graded ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$, then J is an isotropic $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{g}^{\wedge^{n-1}})$-stable graded subspace and $\alpha(J) \subseteq J$, by Lemma 4.12, so there is a maximally isotropic $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{g}^{\wedge^{n-1}})$-stable graded subspace I of $\mathfrak{g}$ containing J such that $\alpha(I) \subseteq I$ and $\dim I = [m/2]$, I is also an isotropic graded ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$. Moreover, if m is even, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is isometric to some T^*-extension of $\mathfrak{g}/I$ by Theorem 4.10.

If m is odd, then $\dim I^{\perp} - \dim I = 1$ and $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{g}^{\wedge^{n-1}})(I^{\perp}) \subseteq I$ by Lemma 4.12. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} Z(I) =& \{x \in \mathfrak{g} | [x, I, \mathfrak{g}, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}]_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0\} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} | \langle \mathfrak{g}, [x, I, \mathfrak{g}, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}]_{\mathfrak{g}}\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0\} \\ =& \{x \in \mathfrak{g} | \langle [I, \mathfrak{g}, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}]_{\mathfrak{g}}, x\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0\} = [I, \mathfrak{g}, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}]_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\perp} = \Big(\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{g}^{\wedge^{n-1}})(I)\Big)^{\perp}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $I^{\perp} \subset \Big(\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{g}^{\wedge^{n-1}})(I^{\perp})\Big)^{\perp} = Z(I^{\perp})$, hence $I^{\perp}$ is abelian.

Take any nonzero element $a \notin \mathfrak{g}$, we define $\alpha^{'}$ by

$$\alpha^{'}(x) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } x = a, \\ \alpha(x) & \text{if } x \in \mathfrak{g}. \end{cases} \tag{4.6}$$

Then $\mathbb{K}a$ is a 1-dimensional abelian n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra. Define a bilinear map $\langle, \rangle_a : \mathbb{K}a \times \mathbb{K}a \to \mathbb{K}$ by $\langle a, a\rangle_a = 1$. Then $\langle, \rangle_a$ is a nondegenerate supersymmetric invariant bilinear form on $\mathbb{K}a$. Let $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{g} \dotplus \mathbb{K}a$. Define

$$[x_1 + k_1 a, \cdots, x_n + k_n a]_{\mathfrak{g}'} = [x_1, \cdots, x_n]_{\mathfrak{g}}$$

and

$$\langle x+k_1a, y+k_2a\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}'} = \langle x,y\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} + \langle k_1a, k_2a\rangle_a.$$

Then $(\mathfrak{g}', [\cdot,\cdots,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}'}, \alpha', \langle,\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}'})$ is a nilpotent metric n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra since

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \alpha'(x+a), y+a\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}'} =& \langle \alpha(x)+\alpha(a), y+a\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}'} = \langle \alpha(x), y\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} + \langle \alpha(a), a\rangle_a \\ =& \langle \alpha(y), x\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} + \langle \alpha(a), a\rangle_a = \langle \alpha'(y+a), x+a\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}'}\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ is a nondegenerate Hom-ideal of codimension 1 of $(\mathfrak{g}', \alpha')$. Since $I^\perp$ is not isotropic and $\mathbb{K}$ is algebraically closed there exists $z \in I^\perp$ and $\langle z,z\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = -1$. In addition, we have $\alpha(I^\perp) \subseteq I^\perp$ since $\langle \alpha'(v^\perp), v\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = \langle \alpha(v), v^\perp\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0$ for $v \in I$ and $v^\perp \in I^\perp$. Let $b = a+z$ and $I' = I \dot{+} \mathbb{K}b$. Then I' is an $(m+1)/2$-dimensional isotropic graded ideal of $\mathfrak{g}'$.

In fact, for all $x+k_1a+k_1z, y+k_2a+k_2z \in I'$,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x+k_1a+k_1z, y+k_2a+k_2z\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}'} =& \langle x+k_1z, y+k_2z\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} + \langle k_1a, k_2a\rangle_a \\ =& \langle x,y\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} + \langle x, k_2z\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} + \langle k_1z, y\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} + \langle k_1z, k_2z\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} + k_1k_2 \\ =& k_1k_2 - k_1k_2 = 0.\end{aligned}$$

In light of Theorem 4.10, we conclude that $\mathfrak{g}'$ is isometric to some T^*-extension of $\mathfrak{g}'/I'$.

Define $\Phi : \mathfrak{g}' \to \mathfrak{g}/I, x+\lambda a \mapsto x - \lambda z + I$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}[\Phi(x_1+\lambda_1a), \cdots, \Phi(x_n+\lambda_na)]_{\mathfrak{g}/I} =& [x_1-\lambda_1z+I, \cdots, x_n-\lambda_nz+I]_{\mathfrak{g}/I} \\ =& [x_1,\cdots,x_n]_{\mathfrak{g}} + I = \Phi([x_1,\cdots,x_n]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ =& \Phi([x_1+\lambda_1a, \cdots, x_n+\lambda_na]_{\mathfrak{g}'}),\end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that $I^\perp$ is abelian and $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{g}^{\wedge^{n-1}})(I^\perp) \subseteq I$. Moreover, $\Phi\alpha = \alpha\Phi$. In fact, for $x+\lambda a \in \mathfrak{g}'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\Phi\alpha(x+\lambda a) = \Phi(\alpha(x)+\lambda\alpha(a)) = \Phi(\alpha(x)+\lambda a) = \alpha(x) - \lambda z + I \\ =&\alpha(x) - \lambda\alpha(z) + I = \alpha(x-\lambda z+I) = \alpha\Phi(x+\lambda a).\end{aligned}$$

It's clear that Φ is surjective and $\mathrm{Ker}\Phi = I'$, so $\mathfrak{g}'/I' \cong \mathfrak{g}/I$, hence the theorem follows. □

Now we show that there exists an isotropic Hom-ideal in every finite-dimensional metric n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra and investigate the nilpotent length of $\mathfrak{g}/I$.

Proposition 4.14. *Suppose that $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdots,\cdot], \alpha, \langle,\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is a finite-dimensional metric n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra.*

(1) For any graded subspace $V \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$, $C(V) := \{x \in \mathfrak{g} | [x, \mathfrak{g}, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}]_{\mathfrak{g}} \subseteq V\} = [\mathfrak{g}, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}, V^\perp]_{\mathfrak{g}}^\perp$.

(2) $\mathfrak{g}^m = C_m(\mathfrak{g})^\perp$, *where* $C_0(\mathfrak{g}) = 0, C_{i+1}(\mathfrak{g}) = C(C_i(\mathfrak{g}))$.

(3) If $\mathfrak{g}$ *is nilpotent of length* k, *then* $\mathfrak{g}^i \subseteq C_{k-i}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Proof. The relation

$$\langle C(V), [\mathfrak{g}, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}, V^\perp]_\mathfrak{g} \rangle_\mathfrak{g} = \langle [\mathfrak{g}, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}, C(V)]_\mathfrak{g}, V^\perp \rangle_\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \langle V, V^\perp \rangle_\mathfrak{g} = 0$$

shows that $C(V) \subseteq [\mathfrak{g}, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}, V^\perp]_\mathfrak{g}^\perp$. Notice that

$$\langle [\mathfrak{g}, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}, [\mathfrak{g}, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}, V^\perp]_\mathfrak{g}^\perp]_\mathfrak{g}, V^\perp \rangle_\mathfrak{g} = \langle [\mathfrak{g}, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}, V^\perp]_\mathfrak{g}^\perp, [\mathfrak{g}, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}, V^\perp]_\mathfrak{g} \rangle_\mathfrak{g} = 0,$$

which implies $[\mathfrak{g}, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}, [\mathfrak{g}, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}, V^\perp]_\mathfrak{g}^\perp]_\mathfrak{g} \subseteq (V^\perp)^\perp = V$, i.e., $[\mathfrak{g}, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}, V^\perp]_\mathfrak{g}^\perp \subseteq C(V)$. Hence (1) follows.

By induction, (2) and (3) can be proved easily. □

Theorem 4.15. *Every finite-dimensional nilpotent metric* n*-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra* $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdots, \cdot], \alpha, \langle , \rangle_\mathfrak{g})$ *over an algebraically closed field of characteristic not 2 such that* $\alpha(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}$ *is isometric to (a nondegenerate ideal of codimension 1 of) a* T^**-extension of a nilpotent* n*-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra whose nilpotent length is at most a half of the nilpotent length of* $\mathfrak{g}$.

Proof. Define $J = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}^i \cap C_i(\mathfrak{g})$. Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is nilpotent, the sum is finite. Proposition 4.14 (2) says $(\mathfrak{g}^i)^\perp = C_i(\mathfrak{g})$, then $\mathfrak{g}^i \cap C_i(\mathfrak{g})$ is isotropic for all $i \geq 0$. Since

$$\mathfrak{g}^i \supseteq \mathfrak{g}^j \supseteq \mathfrak{g}^j \cap C_j(g), \text{ if } i < j,$$

we have

$$(\mathfrak{g}^j \cap C_j(\mathfrak{g}))^\perp \supseteq (\mathfrak{g}^i)^\perp = C_i(\mathfrak{g}) \supseteq C_i(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathfrak{g}^i, \text{ if } i < j.$$

It follows that

$$\langle \mathfrak{g}^i \cap C_i(\mathfrak{g}), \mathfrak{g}^j \cap C_j(\mathfrak{g}) \rangle_\mathfrak{g} = 0, \quad \forall i, j \geq 0.$$

Therefore J is an isotropic graded ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let k denote the nilpotent length of $\mathfrak{g}$. Using Proposition 4.14 (3) we can conclude that $\mathfrak{g}^{[(k+1)/2]} \subseteq C_{[(k+1)/2]}(\mathfrak{g})$. This implies that $\mathfrak{g}^{[(k+1)/2]}$ is contained in J. By Theorem 4.13, there is a maximally isotropic graded ideal I of $\mathfrak{g}$ containing $J \supseteq \mathfrak{g}^{[(k+1)/2]}$. It means that $\mathfrak{g}/I$ has nilpotent length at most $[(k+1)/2]$, and the theorem follows. □

Remark 4.16. *Most results concerning* T^**-extensions in [9, 15–17] are contained in this section as special cases.*

References

[1] F. Ammar, Z. Ejbehi, and A. Makhlouf, Cohomology and Deformations of Hom-algebras. *J. Lie Theory,* 2011, 21: 813-836.

[2] F. Ammar, S. Mabrouk, A. Makhlouf, Representations and cohomology of n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras. *J. of Geom. and Phys.,* 2011, 61: 1898-1913.

[3] F. Ammar, A. Makhlouf, Hom-Lie algebras and Hom-Lie admissible superalgebras, *J. Algebra,* 2010, 324: 1513-1528.

[4] F. Ammar, N. Saadaoui, Cohomology of n-ary-Nambu-Lie superalgebras and super ω_∞3-algebra, arXiv:1304.5767v1 [math.RA].

[5] H. Ataguema, A. Makhlouf, S. Silvestrov, Generalization of n-ary Nambu algebras and beyond, *J. Math. Phys.,* 2009, 50: 1.

[6] J. A. Azcárraga, J. M. Izquierdo, n-ary algebras: a review with applications, *J. of Phys., Conference Series,* 2011, 284, 012019.

[7] I. Bajo, S, Benayadi, A. Medina, Symplectic structures on quadratic Lie algebras. J. Algebra, 2007, 316: 174-188.

[8] S. Benayadi, A. Makhlouf, Hom-Lie algebras with symmetric invariant nondegenerate bilinear forms, *J. of Geom. and Phys.,* 2014, 76: 38-60.

[9] M. Bordemann, Nondegenerate invariant bilinear forms on nonassociative algebras. Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae, LXVI(2)(1997), 151-201.

[10] N. Cantarini, V. G. Kac, Classification of simple linearly compact n-Lie superalgebras. Comm. Math. Phys., 2010, 298: 833-853.

[11] C. Chevalley, S. Eilenberg, Cohomology theory of Lie groups and Lie algebras. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 1948, 63: 85-124.

[12] Y. Daletskii and V. Kushnirevich, Inclusion of Nambu-Takhtajan algebra in formal differential geometry structure, *Dop. NAN Ukr.,* 1996, 4: 12-18.

[13] J. T. Hartwig, Larsson D. and Silvestrov, S. D., Deformations of Lie algebras using σ-derivations. *J. Algebra,* 2006, 295: 314-361.

[14] D. Larsson, S. D. Silvestrov, Quasi-Hom-Lie algebras, central extensions and 2-cocycle-like identities, *J. Algebra,* 2005, 288: 321-344.

[15] Y. Liu, L.Y. Chen and Y. Ma, Hom-Nijienhuis operators and T^*-extensions of Hom-Lie superalgebras. *Linear Algebra Appl.,* 2013, 439: 2131-2144.

[16] W. L. Liu, Z. X. Zhang, T^*-extension of n-Lie algebras, Linear Multilinear Algebra, 2013, 61: 527-542.

[17] Y. Ma, L. Y. Chen, On the cohomology and extensions of first-class n-Lie superalgebras. arXiv:1304.7335 [math.RT]. (to appear in Commun. Algebra)

[18] A. Makhlouf, S. Silvestrov, Notes on 1-parameter formal deformations of Hom-associative and Hom-Lie algebras. *Forum Math.,* 2010, 22(4): 715-739.

[19] A. Makhlouf, S. D. Silvestrov, Hom-algebras and Hom-coalgebras, *J. Algebra Appl.,* 2010, 9: 553-589.

[20] M. Scheunert, R. B. Zhang, Cohomology of Lie superalgebras and their generalizations. J. Math. Phys., 1998, 39: 5024-5061.

[21] Y. Sheng, Representations of Hom-Lie algebras, *Algebr. Represent. Theory,* 2012, 15: 1081-1098.

[22] D. Yau, Hom-Yang-Baxter equation, Hom-Lie algebras, and quasi-triangular bialgebras. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theory,* 2009, 42, 165202.

[23] D. Yau, Hom-algebras and homology. *J. Lie Theory,* 2009, 19: 409-421.